

# **CHAPTER THREE**

## **AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT**

### **3.1 PLANNING AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK**

#### **3.1.1 Federal Land Policy and Management Act**

The Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA), of 1976, long considered the landmark legislation that changed the operations of BLM forever, provides a multiple use framework for managing the nation's public lands that focuses on the needs of both present and future generations. Under FLPMA, land managers are required to take into account the long term needs of present and future generations as they make important decisions in the management of renewable and nonrenewable resources, including recreation, timber, minerals, watershed, fish, wildlife, rangeland, scientific and historical values. The Act requires BLM to execute its management powers under a land use planning process that is based on multiple use and sustained yield principles.

The BLM is an agency of the U.S. Department of the Interior with responsibility for managing more than 264 million surface acres of America's public lands, and also administers 700 million acres of sub-surface mineral estate throughout the nation. The BLM accomplishes this by planning and managing such resources as outdoor recreation, livestock grazing and mineral development, and by conserving natural, historical, cultural, and other resources on the public lands. Most of the public lands managed by BLM are located in 12 Western states, which includes California. The 25-million-acre California Desert Conservation Area (CDCA) contains over 12 million acres of public lands.

#### **3.1.2 Executive Order No. 11644**

In 1971, Presidential Executive Order No. 11644 established the first uniform policies regarding OHV use on public lands. Each land management agency was directed by this Order to issue directions as to which trails and areas were open for OHV use and which were not. The Order required that OHV use be monitored to assess and minimize associated impacts.

#### **3.1.3 Federal Regulations**

The CDCA Plan's motorized-vehicle access element was amended (1982 Plan Amendment Three, approved May 17, 1983) to conform with 43 CFR 8342.1 which requires route approval to be based on the following criteria:

- ?? Areas and trails would be located to minimize damage to soil, watershed, vegetation, air, or other resources of the public lands, and to prevent impairment of wilderness suitability.
- ?? Areas and trails would be located to minimize harassment of wildlife or significant disruption of wildlife habitats. Special attention would be given to protect endangered or threatened species and their habitats.

- ?? Areas and trails would be located to minimize conflicts between off-road vehicle use and other existing or proposed recreational uses of the same or neighboring public lands, and to ensure the compatibility of such uses with existing conditions in populated areas, taking into account noise and other factors.
- ?? Areas and trails would not be located in officially designated wilderness areas or primitive areas.
- ?? Areas and trails would be located in natural areas only if the authorized officer determines that vehicle use in such locations would not adversely affect their natural, esthetic, scenic, or other values for which such areas are established.

### **3.1.4 California Desert Conservation Area Plan**

Section 601 of FLPMA was included by Congress to give direction about the California Desert Conservation Area. In section 601, Congress required the preparation of a comprehensive, long-range plan for the CDCA. The purpose of the CDCA Plan is to establish guidance for the management of the public lands located in the California Desert by BLM in clear accordance with the Congressional intent as stated in the law.

**Goals of CDCA Plan:** The goals stated in the CDCA Plan's Motorized-Vehicle Access Element follow:

- ?? Provide for constrained motorized vehicle access in a manner that balances the needs of all desert users, private landowners, and other public agencies.
- ?? When designating or amending areas or routes for motorized vehicle access, to the degree possible, avoid adverse impacts to desert resources.
- ?? Use maps, signs, and published information to communicate the motorized vehicle access situation to desert users, making sure all information materials are understandable and easy to follow.

The goals in the CDCA Plan's Recreation Element follow:

- ?? Provide for a wide range of quality recreation opportunities and experiences, emphasizing dispersed undeveloped use.
- ?? Provide a minimum of recreation facilities. Those facilities should emphasize resource protection and visitor safety.
- ?? Manage recreation use to minimize user conflicts, provide a safe recreation environment, and protect desert resources.
- ?? Emphasize the use of public information and education techniques to increase public awareness, enjoyment, and sensitivity to desert resources.
- ?? Adjust management approach to accommodate changing visitor use patterns and preferences.
- ?? Encourage the use and enjoyment of desert recreation opportunities by special populations, and provide facilities to meet the needs of those groups.

The goals for motorized-vehicle access / routes of travel designations / recreation are to:

- ?? Designate routes of travel consistent with the criteria at 43 CFR 8342.1, discussed above.
- ?? Provide for competitive off-highway vehicle events in a manner that protects desert resources.
- ?? Establish stopping, parking, and vehicle camping limitations consistently.

The CDCA Plan defined open and closed routes as follows:

- ?? Open Route. Access on the route by motorized vehicles is allowed. Specific uses with potential for resource damage or significant conflict with other use may require specific authorization.
- ?? Closed Route. Access on route by motorized vehicles is prohibited except for: (1) fire, military, emergency or law enforcement vehicles when used for emergency purposes; (2) combat or combat support vehicles when used for national defense purposes; (3) vehicles used for official purposes by employees, agents, or designated representatives of the federal government or one of its contractors. Use must be consistent with the multiple use guidelines for that area.

Route designations apply only to routes and portions thereof on public lands; the designation of routes as “open,” and “closed” is not applicable on non-public lands.

## **3.2 AIR QUALITY, SOILS AND WATER**

### **3.2.1 Air Quality**

Much of the time, air quality in the western Mojave Desert is good. There are, however, times that localized areas have not met air quality standards due to locally generated and/or transported in pollutants. The entire planning area has been classified as non-attainment areas for ozone, PM10, sulfates, carbon monoxide and/or hydrogen sulfide under the state and/or national standards. In addition, there is concern for visibility reducing particles and PM10 precursor emissions including oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>), oxides of sulfur (SO<sub>x</sub>) and reactive organic gases (ROG). The designation of attainment/non-attainment areas for the new PM2.5 and 8-hour ozone standards will occur in the future. The state Air Resources Board has recommended to the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) that most of the Mojave Desert Air Basin be classified as federal ozone nonattainment areas under the new 8 hour standard.

The CAA and the California Clean Air Act contain the primary provisions relating to air quality. Among the most important provisions are the sections relating to the establishment of the National and State Ambient Air Quality Standards, nonattainment areas, the development of state implementation plans (SIP), prevention of significant deterioration (PSD), air toxics and federal conformity. The USEPA and the California Air Resources Control Board have issued rules to implement the federal and California Clean Air Acts.

The federal and state Clean Air Acts regulate certain forms of pollution under three main categories. These are criteria pollutants, air toxics and global warming and ozone-depleting

gases. There is also regulation of a more general category of emissions that reduce visibility. These come under the titles of regional haze, prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) and visibility reducing particulates (VRP).

The definitions used in determining whether or not an area meets air quality standards are found in the federal and state Clean Air Acts and their associated ambient air quality standards. Criteria pollutants are defined as those pollutants for which the federal and state government have established ambient air quality standards, or criteria, for concentrations in order to protect public health. Under the federal Clean Air Act, the USEPA has established National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for seven criteria pollutants (ozone, respirable particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub>), fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>), carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, lead and sulfur dioxide). These standards are used to classify all areas as to whether they are in attainment, in nonattainment or are unclassified for any of the NAAQS. California has established California Ambient Air Quality Standards for the same federal criteria pollutants plus an additional 3 pollutants (visibility reducing particulates, sulfates and hydrogen sulfide).

Air quality degradation and exceedances of the ambient air quality standards have been episodal in nature. High PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations that violated the National Ambient Air Quality Standards peaked in the early 1990s. In recent years, good monitoring data has led to reclassification requests to the USEPA for most of the region. Implementation of dust control rules and controls on a number of critical sources have led to the reductions in PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations. The numbers of violations of the NAAQS for ozone has declined, but violations have continued. Rules establishing controls for Ozone precursor emissions have been implemented, but overwhelming transport of pollutants from the South Coast Air Basin and the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin continually impacts the desert. Both the South Coast and the San Joaquin Valley are both classified as serious nonattainment areas (see Table 3-1). The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) has projected population growth and future pollution levels through 2025. The projections are for a population increase of over 50%, a reduction in ozone precursor levels over 30% and increases in PM<sub>10</sub> levels of nearly 30%.

**Table 3-1**  
**Attainment Status By Air Basin and Air District**

AIR BASIN	AIR QUALITY DISTRICT	POLLUTANT	PLANNING AREA NAME	FEDERAL DESIGNATION	STATE DESIGNATION
GBVAB	GBUAPCD	PM <sub>10</sub> (federal)	Owens Valley	Severe Nonattainment	
		PM <sub>10</sub> (federal)	Rose Valley	Moderate Nonattainment	
		PM <sub>10</sub> (state)	GBVAB		Nonattainment
		All others	GBVAB	Unclassified/attainment	Attainment
MDAB	KCAPCD	PM <sub>10</sub> (federal)	Indian Wells Valley	Moderate Nonattainment	
		PM <sub>10</sub> (state)	MDAB		Nonattainment

		Ozone (federal)	Eastern Kern County*	Nonattainment	
		Ozone (state)	MDAB		Nonattainment
		All others	Eastern Kern County	Unclassified/ attainment	Attainment
	MDAQMD	PM <sub>10</sub> (federal)	Searles Valley	Moderate Nonattainment	
		PM <sub>10</sub> (federal)	Mojave Desert	Moderate Nonattainment	
		Ozone (federal)	Mojave Desert modified	Nonattainment	
		Ozone (state)	San Bernardino Co. Wide		Nonattainment
		Sulfates (state)	Searles Valley		Nonattainment
		Hydrogen Sulfide (state)	Searles Valley		Nonattainment
		PM <sub>10</sub> (state)	San Bernardino Co. wide		Nonattainment
		All others	MDAQMD Wide	Unclassified/ attainment	Nonattainment
	AVAQMD	Ozone (federal)	Mojave Desert modified	Nonattainment	
		PM <sub>10</sub> (state)	Basin wide		Nonattainment
		Ozone (state)	Basin wide		Nonattainment
		All Others	Basin wide	Unclassified/ attainment	Nonattainment
SSAB	SCAQMD	Ozone (federal)	Coachella Valley	Nonattainment	
		PM <sub>10</sub> (state)	SSAB		Nonattainment
		Ozone (state)	SSAB		Nonattainment
		All others	SSAB	Unclassified/ attainment	Attainment

**Respirable Particulate Matter (PM<sub>10</sub>):** PM<sub>10</sub> is the most important air pollutant in the West Mojave planning area. PM<sub>10</sub> in the atmosphere can be caused by both environmental factors and human activities. Human activities that contribute to the PM<sub>10</sub> emissions include combustion sources such as stack emissions, diesel exhaust and smoke from prescribed fire and wild fire, fugitive dust sources such as construction and demolition activities, off highway vehicle travel, unpaved public roads and parking lots, industrial activities, OHV open areas and military activities. The combustion sources tend to produce smaller particulates (less than 5 µ) while fugitive sources tend to produce larger particulates (larger than 5µ).

One of the reasons for the concern with PM<sub>10</sub> emissions is their adverse effect on human health. All of the PM<sub>10</sub> particles are considered respirable particulate because they can be inhaled into the nose, throat and/or lungs. The fine PM<sub>10</sub> particles are the largest threat to health

because they tend to deposit in the air sacks. In addition, many of the fine particles are from precursor emissions many of which are toxic or carcinogenic. Fugitive dust is primarily coarse particulate that is not as likely to contain toxic materials. The newest studies report that a  $100\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  increase in daily  $\text{PM}_{10}$  concentrations would increase mortality by 10%. The state  $\text{PM}_{10}$  standards are considered public health goals. The EPA has established new NAAQS standards for  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  emissions. These standards are for particles at or below  $2.5\mu$ . These fine particles have been implicated as an increased health risk and consist of chemical compounds that mostly result from combustion processes.

Nearly all of the planning area has recorded concentrations of  $\text{PM}_{10}$  in excess of the national and state ambient air quality standards for  $\text{PM}_{10}$  emissions. The EPA has classified five areas within the West Mojave planning area as federal  $\text{PM}_{10}$  nonattainment areas. The five current federal nonattainment areas are: the Owens Valley  $\text{PM}_{10}$  Planning Area, the Coso Junction  $\text{PM}_{10}$  Planning Area, the Indian Wells Valley  $\text{PM}_{10}$  Planning Area, the Trona  $\text{PM}_{10}$  Planning Area and the San Bernardino County  $\text{PM}_{10}$  Area. The Owens Valley planning area is one of five serious federal nonattainment  $\text{PM}_{10}$  planning areas in the nation. Southeast Kern County and northeastern Los Angeles County (Antelope Valley) are currently listed as unclassified by the USEPA.

The Antelope Valley Area has recorded levels above the national threshold, but has not been classified as nonattainment by EPA yet. The Air Quality Management District has been working directly with EPA to successfully reduce the  $\text{PM}_{10}$  concentration levels and avoid having the Antelope Valley Planning Area designated as a federal nonattainment area. Part of this effort is through the adoption and implementation of rules to control fugitive dust that constituted a majority of the total  $\text{PM}_{10}$  emissions.

The original Searles Valley  $\text{PM}_{10}$  Planning Area abutted the Owens Valley  $\text{PM}_{10}$  Planning area on the north and included Rose Valley, Indian Wells Valley and Searles Valley. The EPA recently separated the federal nonattainment area into three separate nonattainment areas based upon the county lines. These three new federal nonattainment areas are called the Coso Junction, the Indian Wells Valley and the Trona  $\text{PM}_{10}$  nonattainment areas. Emission sources identified in the SIP include construction/demolition, public unpaved roads, paved roads, mobile sources, unplanned fires, public disturbed areas, fuel combustion (cogeneration boiler and stacks at Trona), industrial roads, agricultural fields and military activities. In 1990 there was an estimated 3.98, 4.76 and 9.18 tons/day of  $\text{PM}_{10}$  emissions in the Coso Junction, Indian Wells Valley and Trona nonattainment areas respectively. Activities on BLM lands are estimated to contribute 8% of the total  $\text{PM}_{10}$  emissions in the Trona nonattainment area. The primary source of BLM emissions is OHV activity and unpaved road travel in the Spangler Hills Open Area and surrounding areas. The Trona  $\text{PM}_{10}$  SIP targets the BLM emissions for a 20% reduction. The Kern County APCD and Mojave Desert AQMD have developed rules to implement the SIPs. Current monitoring data has not indicated any recent exceedances of the NAAQS in any of these three nonattainment areas. As a result, documents have been prepared for the three areas requesting a reclassification from nonattainment to maintenance.

The EPA classified the San Bernardino County desert area as a  $\text{PM}_{10}$  non-attainment area on January 20, 1994. The Mojave Desert AQMD prepared a "Particulate Matter ( $\text{PM}_{10}$ ) Control

Strategy Plan” and submitted it to the state for inclusion into the state SIP. The EPA recently disapproved the plan and returned it to the Mojave Desert AQMD for revision. Emission sources identified in the plan include construction/ demolition, city and county unpaved roads travel and wind erosion, paved road entrainment, city and county disturbed areas and industrial activities. Four BLM open areas (Stoddard Valley, Johnson Valley, Rasor, and El Mirage) are within the nonattainment area and the western Mojave Desert. The draft plan called for BLM to prepare a Dust Control Plan for activities within the core problem area of the nonattainment area. At the present time there is no approved SIP for the nonattainment area to guide actions there. Currently new rules are being drafted to come into compliance with EPA. These new rules will likely require BLM to prepare dust control plans for the entire federal nonattainment area.

**Ozone:** The South Coast Air Basin and the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin are both federal non-attainment areas for ozone. Much of the ozone pollution in the desert has been transported in from those two areas. Several studies have looked at the ozone pollution problem in the desert areas. The studies show that the peak ozone levels do not correspond to the peak temperatures and ultraviolet (UV) levels, but are occurring much later in the day indicating that the ozone is being formed down wind and is being transported into the area from its source by the prevailing winds. Heavily impacted areas by ozone transport include the Victorville-Barstow area, the Antelope Valley and Joshua Tree National Park. The NAAQS for ozone do not recognize transport as a factor in their standards. As a result, the EPA has classified most of the Salton Sea and Mojave Desert Air Basins as non-attainment areas for ozone. The only exception is a strip along the northern and eastern edge of San Bernardino County that is excluded from the federal ozone nonattainment area. The state standards allow for the subtraction of transported ozone in determining attainment / nonattainment areas. However, the state standards are much tighter. As a result, all of the West Mojave planning area outside of Inyo County is in nonattainment of the California ozone standards.

**Conformity Determination:** The classification of an area as a federal nonattainment area brings an additional requirement for federal agencies. Section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act (CAA), as amended (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.), and regulations under 40 CFR, part 93, subpart W, state that “no department, agency or instrumentality of the Federal Government shall engage in, support in any way or provide financial assistance for, license or permit, or approve any activity which does not conform to an applicable implementation plan.” This means that under the CAA 176(c) and 40 CFR, part 93, subpart W, (conformity rules), federal agencies must make a determination that proposed actions in federal nonattainment areas conform to the applicable implementation plan (SIP) before the action is taken.

### 3.2.2 Soils

The general soil information and maps provide information on broad areas that have a distinctive pattern of soils, relief, and drainage. Many different kinds of soil have formed throughout the planning area. Ongoing soil forming processes are evident in desert soils. Several processes are involved in the formation of soils. These processes are the accumulation of organic matter, the formation of and translocation of silicate clay, the accumulation of silica and lime, weathering of parent material and the formation of desert pavement. General soils are divided into mapping units that represents a unique natural landscape. Typically a mapping unit

consists of one or more major soils or miscellaneous areas and some minor soils. The soils in any map unit may differ from place to place in slope, depth, drainage, and other characteristics that affect management. The general map units have been grouped for broad interpretive purposes.

The San Bernardino County Mojave River Area is comprised of three groups:

- ?? Soils of the Mojave Desert on flood plains, alluvial fans, and terraces and in basins are dominantly in low positions in arid areas and are comprised of seven map units. Slopes are nearly level to strongly sloping. Elevation ranges from about 1,700 feet to about 4,000 feet. Soils are very deep and shallow and are moderately to somewhat excessively drained. The surface layer is sand, loamy sand, loamy fine sand, sandy loam, loam, and clay. Soils are used mainly for irrigated crops, homesite development, wildlife habitat, and livestock grazing.
- ?? Soils of the Mojave Desert on old terraces that have a desert pavement and on alluvial fans, foothills, and mountains are dominantly on scattered rock desert uplands on adjacent high terraces in the central and northern parts of the survey area. Elevation ranges from about 1,800 to 4,500 feet. The four mapping units in this group range in depth from very shallow, shallow, moderately deep to very deep. They are well drained and the surface layer is gravelly sand, very gravelly sand, cobbly sandy loam, gravelly sandy loam, sandy loam, and loam. Soils are used for wildlife habitat, grazing, and a source of gravel.
- ?? Soils of the San Gabriel and San Bernardino Mountains on mountains, foothills, alluvial fans, and terraces are gently sloping to steep and range in elevation from 3,400 to 6,200 feet. The four mapping units in this group are moderately deep and very deep and are well drained and somewhat excessively drained. The surface layer is sandy loam and loamy fine sand. Soils are used for wildlife habitat, grazing, homesite development, irrigated crops and pasturelands.

The Southeastern Part of Kern County Soil Survey is comprised of two groups within the West Mojave Planning Area:

- ?? Soils of the Mojave Desert occupy several different landscapes that range from low basins to high mountain ridges. Seven mapping units are incorporated into this group. Soils are nearly level to very steep ranging from 2,000 to 4,200 feet elevation and are shallow, deep, or very deep, and well to excessively drained. Surface layers range from sand to clay loam. Soils are used for rangeland, recreation, or wildlife habitat. Where water is available, a few of the soils are used for cropland or homesites.

Soil limitations include a high susceptibility to blowing of the sand surface layers and excessive erosion hazard due to slopes with inadequate plant cover.

- ?? Soils on the Eastern Foot Slopes of the Sierra Nevada and Tehachapi Mountains are dominantly strongly to very steep with some soils in mountain valleys that are nearly



level. Elevation ranges from 2,000 to 8,000 feet. Soils are shallow to very deep and well drained to somewhat excessively drained. Surface layers are gravelly sandy loam, gravelly loam, or sandy loam. Four mapping units in this group are used for woodland, rangeland, recreation, and wildlife habitat. Those soils in the more level mountain valleys are used irrigated cropland.

The Fort Irwin National Training Center Soil Survey is comprised of five groups and can be used to extrapolate information about soils for surrounding areas:

- ?? Soils in basins and on basin rims are comprised of one mapping unit occupying alluvial flats, fan skirts, and playas landforms. Soils are somewhat poorly to excessively drained, very deep soils formed in mixed alluvial or lacustrine materials on nearly level to gentle slopes. Surface layers are sandy loam to fine sandy loam, coarse sand, loamy coarse sand, silty clay loam, silty clay or clay.
- ?? Soils on alluvial fans and alluvial fan remnants are comprised of four mapping units. The surface layer is composed of sand, coarse sand, loamy coarse sand, sandy loam, loamy sand, loam, or silt loam. Soils are well drained and very shallow to very deep and are gently to strongly sloping.
- ?? Soils on granitic pediments and inselbergs are comprised of one mapping unit somewhat excessively drained, very shallow over granitic bedrock soils formed in residuum. The surface layer is coarse sandy loam or sandy loam. Slopes are undulating to steep.
- ?? Soils on fan remnants, erosion remnants, and ballenas are comprised of two mapping units. Landforms are undulating to hilly with very shallow to very deep, well-drained soils. Surface layers are loamy coarse sand, coarse sandy loam, sandy loam, or loam.
- ?? Soils on hills and mountains are comprised of three mapping units. Landforms are rolling to very steep with very shallow to shallow well drained to excessively well-drained soils. Surface layers are sand clay loam, sandy loam, or loam.

Soils within the National Training Center are used for military exercises and wildlife habitat. Soils outside the National Training Center are used for grazing, wildlife habitat, recreation, and homesite development.

The Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center Twentynine Palms soils are comprised of three groups:

- ?? Soils on bolson floors are comprised of one mapping unit with very deep, clayey or coarse loamy, salt affected soils formed in lacustrine deposits. The landform setting is smooth lake plains and playas. Elevation ranges from 600 to 2,900 feet. Soils are somewhat poorly drained to well drained.
- ?? Soils on fan piedmonts are comprised of five mapping units located on fan remnants, alluvial fans, and fan aprons. Soils are very shallow to very deep, well to excessively

drained. Elevation is 1,800 to 4,000 feet with gently to moderately steep slopes. Surface layers are coarse sand, loamy sand, loamy fine sand, loamy coarse sand, sandy loam, extremely gravelly sand, very gravelly sandy loam.

- ?? Soils on mountains and hills are comprised of three mapping units. Soils are very shallow to bedrock, well drained to somewhat excessively drained. Elevation is 800 to 4,600 feet with moderate to steep slopes. Surface layers are extremely gravelly sand, very cobbly fine sandy loam, very gravelly loamy coarse sand, or extremely stony sandy loam.

The Edwards Air Force Base Soil Survey is comprised of three basic geomorphic units. These include the hills and rock pediments are scattered throughout the area and are surrounded by fan piedmonts and sand sheets, which for the most part internally drained to the alluvial flats and ultimately to the playas.

- ?? The hills and rock piedmonts tend to be moderately steep, to steep. Soils are shallow or moderately deep; therefore, water runoff is somewhat high.
- ?? The fan piedmonts and sand sheets are rarely flooded during thunderstorms when water moves from the surrounding hills and rock pediments down slope toward to the playas. Drainage of soils on these landscapes is somewhat to excessively drained.
- ?? The alluvial flats between the playas and surrounding fan piedmonts and sand sheets are subject to occasional flooding as water moves down slope to the playas. Ponding occasionally occurs on the alluvial flats. Soils on the alluvial flats are dominantly moderately well drained.

Soil blowing is a major hazard in the survey area; especially those with coarse-textured surface layer of loamy fine sand and sand and are susceptible to soil blowing. Wind erosion occurs whenever bare, loose, dry soil is exposed to wind of sufficient speed to cause soil movement. The process will be accelerated whenever the natural equilibrium between climate, soils, and vegetation is disturbed. Wind speeds as low as 13 to 15 miles per hour one foot above the soil surface can initiate soil blowing under highly erodible conditions. The mere passing of vehicle tires or tracks over an erodible surface provides sufficient energy to initiate soil blowing. As medium size particles are detached they may enter the wind stream momentarily but then are pulled back by gravity. This causes them to impact other particles and set them into motions and can account to 50 to 80 percent of total soil movement.

### **3.2.3 Water**

The planning area is one of the most arid areas in the nation; the potential annual water loss through evapotranspiration exceeds the annual water gain from precipitation even at the higher elevations. On the valley floor the evaporation exceeds the precipitation by at least 25:1.

Prominent mountain ranges have an important influence on moisture distribution within the plan area. As moist, unstable air masses from the Pacific Ocean rise up the windward slopes

of the Southern Sierra, San Gabriel and San Bernardino Mountains, the air is cooled and water vapor condenses and falls as rain, snow, or ice. When these air masses descend the leeward slopes, they become warmer and more stable and thus retain most of the remaining moisture. Consequently, precipitation amounts are much greater on the windward slopes of the mountain ranges, whereas arid conditions prevail leeward of the mountains. All of the study area, except the Kelso Creek area is on the leeward side of these major mountain areas.

Surface water is very scarce. Streams that originate high in surrounding mountains on the west and south may have perennial flow in the higher altitudes; at the lower altitudes and throughout the area virtually no water exists in streambeds or riverbeds, except locally after infrequent, heavy cloudbursts. The playas may be covered by water from the runoff for as long as two months a year. There are many locally important springs and seeps most of which are associated with the mountain areas.

**Water Quality:** Although there are vast quantities of water within the ground water basins, some of the water is of poor quality. The mineral quality of the ground water within the study area varies greatly. The geologic setting of the basins directly affects the degree of ground water mineralization. In general, basins near the source of recharge are less mineralized than those that are more distant.

Very short flow paths generally characterize small local flow systems, usually no more than a few miles in length. Springs connected to these systems are usually located in or near the mountains and have highly variable annual ranges in discharge which respond to the precipitation that year or a few years previous. Discharge waters have small concentrations of dissolved sodium plus potassium and chloride plus sulfate, large concentrations of tritium, and water temperatures that commonly approach average air temperatures.

Large local flow systems are characterized by interbasin flow or flow confined to one basin with longer flow paths. Springs connected to these systems have moderate concentrations of the major salts, no significant concentrations of tritium and water temperatures from 50 to 60 degrees Fahrenheit.

Surface water was and is the major transport agent of the rock material from the mountains to the alluvial fans to the valleys. The intense short duration storms result in rapid floodwaters that have the energy to transport rock material both in the water column and along the beds of the arroyos. Longer duration storms with less intensity will still have the energy to transport finer sediment materials. All ephemeral streams in this area will have naturally high sediment concentrations. Flows resulting from groundwater sources will have low sediment concentrations until the runoff water predominates the flow. Playa water will usually have a high concentration of very fine sediment mixed into the column by wind action and will have varying salt concentrations depending on the geology of the area.

## **3.3 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES**

### **3.3.1 Natural Communities**

The western Mojave Desert comprises a distinct area of the Mojave Desert biome, where the flora and fauna has adapted to the local conditions and formed distinct natural communities and species found nowhere else (i.e. “endemics”). It also incorporates the transitional ecotones from the Sierra Nevada, Tehachapi, San Gabriel, and San Bernardino Mountains and the Colorado Desert.

The predominant aspect of the West Mojave is a flat, sparsely vegetated region interspersed with mountain ranges and dry lakes. The area is a part of the high desert, large portions of which lie at elevations between 2500 and 4000 feet. Freezing temperatures are limited to a few days in the winter in most of the region, while summer temperatures regularly exceed 100 degrees Fahrenheit. The characteristic creosote bush and saltbush plant communities are covered with wildflowers in years of above-normal winter rainfall, and up to 90% of the flora is composed of annual plants.

Thirty-two distinct plant communities are found within the western Mojave Desert. By far the moist common communities are creosote bush scrub and saltbush scrub, which occupy over 90% of the natural lands. The remaining plant communities are found in isolated areas with unique conditions, such as the alkali seeps, springs, and meadows or the fan palm oases, or occur along the south and west edges of the planning area, where the desert transitions into mountains. In the transition areas are several types of chaparral, woodland and scrub communities not found on the desert floor.

### **3.3.2 Desert Tortoise**

The following consists of short excerpts taken from the comprehensive treatment of the desert tortoise that is being prepared for the West Mojave Plan EIR/S (expected to be published in May 2003). The discussion below is limited to a general overview of tortoise status and life history, as well as those materials that have a direct bearing on the relationship between dispersed off highway vehicle use and desert tortoises and their habitat.

#### **3.3.2.1 Regulatory Status**

The Mojave population of the desert tortoise includes those animals living north and west of the Colorado River in the Mojave Desert of California, Nevada, Arizona, southwestern Utah, and in the Colorado Desert in California. On August 4, 1989, the USFWS published an emergency rule listing the Mojave population of the desert tortoise as endangered (54 *Federal Register* 32326). In its final rule, dated April 2, 1990, the USFWS determined the Mojave population of the desert tortoise to be threatened (55 *Federal Register* 12178). The USFWS designated critical habitat for the desert tortoise in portions of California, Nevada, Arizona, and Utah in a final rule, published February 8, 1994 (59 *Federal Register* 5820). (USFWS 2002.) The tortoise was also listed as threatened throughout its known range in California by the California Fish and Game Commission in 1989.

The desert tortoise was designated a “sensitive species” in California in 1979 by BLM, which is authorized to designate species on public lands as “sensitive” after consultation with CDFG. The purpose of the designation was to provide increased management attention to prevent population and habitat declines that might result in federal or State listing as endangered or threatened. The designation raises the level of concern for desert tortoises in the environmental review process. No particular habitat or population management action is required or prohibited by the sensitive species designation, although other federal statutes (such as FESA and CESA) apply. (BLM and CDFG 1992.)

### 3.3.2.2 Tortoise Habitat Designations

During the past two decades, the BLM and USFWS have identified habitats that are important to tortoise management, conservation, and recovery (see Table 3-2).

**Table 3-2**  
**Current And Historic Tortoise Management Areas**

NAME	DATE ESTABLISHED	NOTES
Crucial Habitat	1980	California Desert Conservation Area Plan Designation
Category I, II, and III	1993	California Desert Conservation Area Plan Designation
Critical Habitat	1994	Designation pursuant to FESA
Recovery Plan	1994	Suggests that DWMAs be established

**BLM Crucial Habitat:** Desert tortoise *crucial habitat* was first identified in the BLM’s 1980 CDCA Plan (Map 4, CDCA Plan, 1980). The crucial habitat area was considered to be “...essential to the continued existence of the species.” The BLM (1987) described crucial habitat as follows: “*Crucial habitat* includes portions of the habitats of officially designated BLM sensitive species that if destroyed or adversely modified could result in their being listed as threatened or endangered pursuant to Section 4 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended.”

**BLM Category I, II, and III Habitat:** In 1992, the BLM and CDFG adopted a *California Statewide Desert Tortoise Management Policy*. The crucial habitat designation was expressly dropped in 1992 in favor of BLM tortoise Category I, II, and III habitat areas (BLM and CDFG 1992). This policy included management goals for Category I, II, and III tortoise habitats, as follows: *Category I*: maintain stable, viable populations and increase populations where possible; *Category II*: maintain stable, viable populations; *Category III*: limit declines to the extent possible using mitigation measures. In April 1993, the BLM amended the CDCA plan to delineate these three categories of desert tortoise habitat on public lands (Map 1A, CDCA Plan, as amended, 1999).

**USFWS Critical Habitat:** Critical habitat is defined as (a) the specific areas within the geographical area occupied by the species at the time it is listed on which are found those physical or biological features which are essential to the conservation of the species and which may require special management considerations or protection; and (b) specific areas outside the

geographic area occupied by the species at the time it is listed upon a determination by the Secretary of the Interior that such areas are essential for the conservation of the species (FESA Section 3(5)(A)). In 1994, the Service designated four critical habitat units in the planning area: Fremont-Kramer (518,000 acres), Superior-Cronese (766,900), Ord-Rodman (253,200), and Pinto Mountain (171,700) units (USFWS 1994a).

**USFWS Recovery Units and Desert Wildlife Management Areas:** The Desert Tortoise (Mojave Population) Recovery Plan (USFWS 1994b) established recovery goals and objectives for six “recovery units.” The Western Mojave Recovery Unit is conterminous with the West Mojave planning area. The Recovery Plan stated that recovery units are “...essential to the long-term recovery, viability, and genetic diversity of the species.” The Recovery Plan also recommended that Desert Wildlife Management Areas (DWMA) be established within each recovery unit. DWMA's were characterized as areas in which “...recovery actions will be implemented to provide for the long-term persistence of viable desert tortoise populations and the ecosystems upon which they depend.”

The West Mojave Plan is considering the establishment of the four DWMA's recommended by the Recovery Plan, which generally correspond to the four critical habitat units: Fremont-Kramer, Superior-Cronese, Ord-Rodman and Pinto Mountains.

### **3.3.2.3 Tortoise Life History**

The following life history information is taken from U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (2002). The desert tortoise is a large, herbivorous reptile found in portions of the California, Arizona, Nevada, and Utah deserts. It also occurs in Sonora and Sinaloa, Mexico. In California, the desert tortoise occurs primarily within the creosote, shadscale, and Joshua tree series of Mojave Desert scrub, and the lower Colorado River Valley subdivision of Sonoran desert scrub. Optimal habitat has been characterized as creosote bush scrub in which precipitation ranges from 2 to 8 inches, diversity of perennial plants is relatively high, and production of ephemerals is high (Luckenbach 1982, Turner and Brown 1982, Schamberger and Turner 1986). Soils must be friable enough for digging of burrows, but firm enough so that burrows do not collapse. In California, desert tortoises are typically associated with gravelly flats or sandy soils with some clay, but are occasionally found in windblown sand or in rocky terrain (Luckenbach 1982). Desert tortoises occur in the California desert from below sea level to an elevation of 7,300 feet, but the most favorable habitat occurs at elevations of approximately 1,000 to 3,000 feet (Luckenbach 1982, Schamberger and Turner 1986).

Adult desert tortoises are most active in California during the spring and early summer when annual plants are most common although juvenile tortoises have been observed outside burrows throughout the year, including December through January when adults are generally in a state of hibernation (Dave Morafka, pers. comm.). Additional adult activity occurs during warmer fall months and occasionally after summer rainstorms. Adult desert tortoises spend most of the remainder of the year in burrows, escaping the extreme conditions of the desert. Further information on the range, biology, and ecology of the desert tortoise can be found in Burge (1978), Burge and Bradley (1976), Hovik and Hardenbrook (1989), Luckenbach (1982), Weinstein *et al.* (1987), and USFWS (1994b).

Tortoise activity is heavily influenced by the amount and timing of rainfall. Annual plants, which make up most of the tortoise's diet in the western Mojave Desert, vary depending on the timing of winter precipitation and the ensuing temperatures. Annual forbs, which are relatively more nutritionally balanced for tortoises, generally emerge following early winter rains with relatively warmer temperatures preceding and during the spring growing season. If winter rains do not come until late January or February, and temperatures are relatively cooler, native and non-native annual grasses will often emerge instead of native forbs. Such forage, particularly non-native grasses, offers little nutritional quality to tortoises.

Male tortoises may be more active during the fall, when their testosterone and viable sperm levels are higher than during the spring. Data collected between 1998 and 2001, which were mostly restricted to the summer and fall periods (i.e., July through October), show that twice as many males were encountered in each of the three survey years as compared to females. This was not observed during distance sampling, where surveys were performed in the spring. In 2001 and 2002, 87 males (40% of all animals where gender could be determined) and 69 (32%) females (1:1.26 ratio) were observed. This probably indicates that males were somewhat more detectable than females in the summer and fall months when males are actively courting and mating with female tortoises, which is supported by numerous field observations.

Food resources for desert tortoises are dependent on the availability and nutritional quality of annual and perennial vegetation, which is greatly influenced by climatic factors, such as the timing and amount of rainfall, temperatures, and wind (Beatley 1969, 1974, Congdon 1989, Karasov 1989, Polis 1991 in Avery 1998). In the Mojave Desert, these climatic factors are typically highly variable; this variability can limit the desert tortoise's food resources.

Desert tortoises will eat many species of plants. However, at any time, most of their diet often consists of a few species (Nagy and Medica 1986, Jennings 1993 in Avery 1998). Additionally, their preferences can change during the course of a season (Avery 1998) and over several seasons (Esque 1994 in Avery 1998). Possible reasons for desert tortoises to alter their preferences may include changes in nutrient concentrations in plant species, the availability of plants, and the nutrient requirements of individual animals (Avery 1998). In Avery's (1998) study in the Ivanpah Valley, desert tortoises consumed primarily green annual plants in spring; cacti and herbaceous perennials were eaten once the winter annuals began to disappear. Medica *et al.* (1982 in Avery 1998) found that desert tortoises ate increased amounts of green perennial grass when winter annuals were sparse or unavailable; Avery (1998) found that desert tortoises rarely ate perennial grasses.

Desert tortoises can produce from one to three clutches of eggs per year. On rare occasions, clutches can contain up to 15 eggs; most clutches contain 3 to 7 eggs. Multi-decade studies of the Blanding's turtle (*Emydoidea blandingii*), which, like the desert tortoise, is long lived and matures late, indicate that approximately 70 percent of the young animals survive each year until they reach adult size; after this time, annual survivorship exceeds 90 percent (Congdon *et al.* 1993). Research has indicated that 50 to 60 percent of young desert tortoises typically survive from year to year, even in the first and most vulnerable year of life. Insufficient information on the demography of the desert tortoise is available to determine whether this rate

is sufficient to maintain viable populations; however, it does indicate that maintaining favorable habitat conditions for small desert tortoises is crucial for the continued viability of the species.

Desert tortoises typically hatch from late August through early October. At the time of hatching, the desert tortoise has a substantial yolk sac; the yolk can sustain them through the fall and winter months until forage is available in the late winter or early spring. However, neonates will eat if food is available to them at the time of hatching; when food is available, they can reduce their reliance on the yolk sac to conserve this source of nutrition. Neonate desert tortoises use abandoned rodent burrows for daily and winter shelter, which are often shallowly excavated and run parallel to the surface of the ground.

Neonate desert tortoises emerge from their winter burrows as early as late January to take advantage of freshly germinating annual plants; if appropriate temperatures and rainfall are present, at least some plants will continue to germinate later in the spring. Freshly germinating plants and plant species that remain small throughout their phenological development are important to neonate desert tortoises because their size prohibits access to taller plants. As plants grow taller during the spring, some species become inaccessible to small desert tortoises.

The ecological requirements and behavior of neonate and juvenile desert tortoises are substantially different than those of sub-adults and adults. Smaller desert tortoises use abandoned rodent burrows, which are typically more fragile than the larger ones constructed by adults. They are active earlier in the season. Finally, small desert tortoises rely on smaller annual plants with greater protein content to be able to gain access to food and to grow.

#### **3.3.2.4 Tortoise Populations**

Between 1998 and 2001, 3,362 transects covering 3,378 mi<sup>2</sup> were surveyed, typically at a density of one transect per square mile. Of the 3,362 transects, 1,405 (42%) did not have any tortoise sign, with some tortoise sign found on the remaining 1,957 (58%) transects. The distribution of above-average sign counts reveals that higher density tortoise areas occur on a northeast-southwest axis, between Fort Irwin and south of Edwards Air Force Base. There were three higher concentration areas in the DWMA proposed by the West Mojave Plan for Ord-Rodman, and none was observed in the proposed Pinto Mountain DWMA. No higher density tortoise areas were found in the northern and western portions of the proposed Fremont-Kramer and Superior-Cronese DWMAs, respectively.

**Evidence of Tortoise Population Declines Between 1980 and 2002:** Comparing sign count data collected prior to 1984 (“older data”) with those of 1998-2002 (“newer data”) shows a decline in the abundance of tortoise sign per transect. There were 213 older and 3,362 newer transects surveyed throughout the planning area. Comparisons are given in Table 3-3.



**Table 3-3**  
**Tortoise Total Corrected Sign Found in West Mojave Planning Area**  
**Between 1975 to 1982 and Between 1998 to 2002**

TCS CATEGORIES	PREVALENCE OF TOTAL CORRECTED SIGN			
	1975 to 1982 Transects		1998 to 2002 Transects	
	Total No.	% Of Total	Total No.	% Of Total
0	38	18	1,405	42
1 to 3	57	27	1,113	33
4 to 8	45	21	583	17
9 to 16	46	22	195	6
17 to 28	20	9	56	1
29 to 50	6	3	10	<1
> 50	1	0	0	0
Totals	213	100%	3,362	100%

In comparing the earliest survey efforts with later ones, it appears that there have been substantial declines in tortoise numbers in the northwest portion of the proposed Fremont-Kramer DWMA. This area is bounded by Highway 58 to the south, Red Mountain to the north, Fremont Peak to the east, and the DTNA to the west. It encompasses the three DTNA study plots and those at Fremont Peak and Fremont Valley, where Dr. Berry documented tortoise declines ranging from 93% at Fremont Peak to 72% at the Fremont Valley study plot. No above-average tortoise sign polygons were identified anywhere within this region. Although there were a few transects with above-average tortoise sign, these were insufficiently concentrated for the polygon criteria to be met.

There are few regions within West Mojave Plan's proposed DWMAs where tortoises are completely extirpated, or for other reasons, do not occur. It identifies areas where high sign counts were found on 261 transects, or conversely, no sign was found (transects along the Sierra Nevada, west of the aqueduct). In many cases, low-density areas may be adjacent to or surrounded by relatively higher density areas.

Several safe assumptions can be made about tortoise distribution in the western Mojave Desert since the 1970s:

- ?? Tortoises are mostly absent from dry lakebeds.
- ?? Tortoises are absent from areas above 5,000 feet elevation, and nearly so at 4,500 feet. In 1999, only 5 of 609 (0.8%) transects with tortoise sign occurred above 4,000 feet; similarly, in 2001, only 12 of 991 (1.2%) transects with tortoise sign occurred above 4,000 feet. In 1998, all 875 transects were located below 4,500 feet.
- ?? Tortoises may be naturally sparse in the northern portion of the range, from the Avawatz Mountains, through China Lake Naval Air Weapons Station, up to Rose Valley along Highway 395. Much of this area is protected on military installations or otherwise inaccessible to most casual desert visitors, yet no surveys since the 1970's have found

significant areas of above-average tortoise sign. Weinstein (1989) found that latitude was a contributing factor to tortoise occurrence, and that in general densities decreased with increasing northern latitudes.

There are also places where local geological and hydrological factors may be responsible for relatively low tortoise numbers. The lava flows associated with Black Mountain, north of Harper Lake, may be sufficiently unsuitable that tortoises are naturally uncommon, although there is an apparent abundance of tortoises at Pisgah Crater, a similar formation. During 1994, on the south-central and southwestern portions of Edwards Air Force Base (between South Rogers Dry Lake and Rosamond Dry Lake, including Buckhorn Dry Lake), the only tortoises found were restricted to a small hill that rose above the surrounding saltbush scrub, which was vegetated by the only creosote bush scrub observed in the region (LaRue, pers. obs.).

### **3.3.2.5 Threats to Tortoises: Mortality Factors**

Available literature presents many threats that are known or suspected to affect tortoises and their habitats. Dr. William Boarman (2002) identified 22 impacts that may affect tortoises throughout the listed population: agriculture, collecting, construction, disease, drought, energy and mineral development, fire, garbage and litter, handling and manipulation, invasive weeds, landfills, livestock grazing, military operations, noise, non off-highway vehicle recreation, off-highway vehicles, predation, roads and highways, urbanization and development, utility corridors, vandalism, and wild horses and burros.

Dr. Boarman's discussion of threats is general and is not restricted to physical impacts and miscellaneous threats that are known to occur in the western Mojave Desert. The following discussion focuses on relationship between off highway vehicles and tortoises.

### **3.3.2.6 Tortoises and Off Highway Vehicles**

#### **3.3.2.6.1 Dispersed Casual OHV Use**

Off highway vehicles users visit the desert for many purposes. They explore the desert, hunt, and drive to campsites and trailheads for hiking or horseback riding, rockhounding and other activities. Commercial uses are also common, for mineral exploration, maintenance of existing facilities, and administrative or law enforcement purposes. This use occurs in a more dispersed manner than, for example, concentrated competitive events, and results in a low-density but continuing presence of vehicles throughout the desert. The following discussion addresses effects that have occurred as a consequence of such dispersed, casual use of the planning area by off highway vehicles.

Boarman (2002) conducted a literature review of 56 references that addressed OHV-based impacts on desert tortoises. His conclusion follows:

Although each study comparing tortoise densities inside and outside of [OHV] areas has limitations, they all lend evidence to reductions in tortoise population densities in heavy [OHV] use areas. The causes for these declines are less certain. Tortoises and their burrows are crushed by [OHVs], although it is difficult to evaluate the full impact this activity currently has on tortoise

populations, partly because there are probably relatively few tortoises in most open use areas. [OHVs] damage and destroy vegetation. Density, cover, and biomass are all reduced inside versus outside of [OHV] use areas, particularly following multiple passes by vehicles. Split grass (*Schismus barbatus*), a weedy introduced grass, in particular appears to benefit from [OHV] activity. Very light, basically non-repeated, vehicle use probably has relatively little long-term impact. Soil becomes compacted by vehicles. The compaction increases with moisture content of the soil, weight of vehicle (particularly high weight to tire surface area ratio), and soil type. Cohesionless sand, such as in sand dunes and washes, [is] largely immune to compaction while moist soils are much more susceptible than dry ones. Compaction, lower infiltration rates, loss of plants and cryptogamic soils all contribute to increased wind and water erosion and fugitive dust, particularly when such areas are several meters in width. More research is needed to understand the effect light [OHV] use has on tortoise populations and habitat.

Boarman (2002) reported that tortoise densities have been reduced through (a) *direct effects*, including crushing of tortoises and burrows, and (b) *indirect effects* of (i) compaction of soil, (ii) destruction of cryptogamic soils, (iii) changes in vegetation, (iv) erosion and loss of soil, (v) light OHV use, and (vi) human access to tortoise habitat.

The USFWS (2002) indicated that the degree of threat posed to desert tortoises by recreation increases with the speed, weight, and numbers of recreational units involved. They indicated, for example, that a small group of hikers posed much less threat to the desert tortoise and its habitat than a race that involved numerous all-terrain vehicles.

**Positive Benefits of Motorized Vehicle Routes:** Haskell (2000) reported that roads provided benefits to society such as opportunities for recreation and natural resource extraction. The USFWS (2002) felt that recreational use of the desert might benefit the desert tortoise in an indirect manner. They concluded that many people viewed the California desert as a unique place to enjoy nature and solitude, and that the enjoyment of the desert could promote citizens to assist in volunteer projects to restore habitats, clean up trash, report problems to the BLM, and educate other users. The BLM's existing educational programs were identified as striving for these goals (USFWS 2002).

#### **3.3.2.6.2 Direct Impacts of OHVs on Desert Tortoise Populations**

As of 1980, the USFWS (2002) reported that OHV activities had affected approximately 25% of desert tortoise habitat in California. In 1986, Dodd (1986) concluded that nearly 70% of the remaining high-density tortoise populations in the California desert were subject to OHV impacts. In 1990, Chambers Group, Inc. (1990) found that 413 square miles (2.9%) of the planning area had been directly disturbed by OHVs, and that much of the disturbance had occurred in open areas or in unauthorized OHV-use areas.

Sign count data collected between 1998 and 2002 indicate that vehicle-based impacts are prevalent throughout tortoise habitats, including the proposed DWMAs. Within the Fremont-Kramer and Superior-Cronese DWMAs, cross-country travel was observed on 833 of 1,572 (53%) transects and roads were observed on 702 (45%) transects. There were 447 mi<sup>2</sup> with higher tortoise sign counts, 159 mi<sup>2</sup> (36%) of which overlapped with above-average vehicle-based impacts.

Although most of the above-average vehicle impacts are contained within BLM Open Areas, similar vehicle impact areas were observed from California City north through the Rand Mountains into Fremont Valley. In effect, this is a heavy OHV use area affecting both private lands around California City and about half of the region that is proposed by the West Mojave Plan for DWMA management. Data from permanent study plots indicate that tortoises decreased between 72% to 93% in this region from the early 1980s to 2002.

**Reduced Tortoise Numbers Attributed to OHV Impacts:** The literature suggests that OHV use has resulted in reduced tortoise numbers (National Ecology Research Center 1990, USFWS 1994b), including juveniles next to well-used dirt roads (USFWS 1994b). Berry (1996) found that tortoise populations decreased significantly with (a) increasing mileages of linear disturbances associated with roads, trails, routes, and tracks ( $P < 0.01$ ) and (b) increasing numbers of human visitors ( $P < 0.05$ ). She observed that stable or increasing tortoise populations had low mileages of linear disturbances and vehicle use, few human visitors, and relatively low percentages of introduced annual plants. For example, two of the 15 plots she surveyed in the northern Colorado Desert had stable or increasing populations and disturbance levels that were generally lower than elsewhere in the California deserts.

In 1994, the USFWS (1994b) concluded: (a) The density of paved and dirt roads, routes, trails, and ways in desert tortoise habitat has had a direct effect on mortality rates and losses of desert tortoises; (b) as mileage of roads, trails, and tracks increased on BLM study plots in California, desert tortoise populations declined at greater rates; (c) even relatively low vehicle use had contributed to depressed desert tortoise densities in local areas; and, (d) the presence of routes of travel through or near the habitats of listed species presented an ongoing level of threat to those species from illegal vehicle use. In 2002, the USFWS (2002) concluded, "Given the precariousness of the desert tortoise in large areas of the California desert and the likelihood that declines will continue to spread at least for some time, the loss of even a few individuals could impede recovery of the species."

Data indicate that significant declines have occurred through much of the northern and northeastern portions of the West Mojave Plan's proposed Fremont-Kramer DWMA. URTD has been implicated, but sign count data reveal that it is also a region of very heavy vehicle impacts and persistent sheep grazing. These data also reveal that there are still higher density tortoise areas in the northern part of the Stoddard Valley Open Area and along the western boundary of the Johnson Valley Open Area.

One may interpret these data to indicate that OHV impacts have eliminated tortoises between California City and Fremont Valley, or conversely that OHV impacts are negligible in open areas, as evidenced by persisting regions of higher tortoise densities. Both arguments have inherent weaknesses, as do the literature sources that refer to "reduced numbers" and "significant decreases" of tortoises caused by OHV impacts. Both arguments are weakened by the lack of baseline data from the 1950's, for example, to which current population levels can be compared. Recent sign count data provide a static look at relative tortoise densities and distribution. Except for where numerous freshly dead carcasses have been found, or declines have been documented on BLM study plots and other places, the current distribution suggests nothing about population trends.

**Tortoises and Burrows Crushed:** Vehicle collisions are responsible for tortoise injury and mortality on dirt roads (Berry 1996), including lightly traveled roads (USFWS 1994b). Given the prevalence of cross-country OHV travel (WMP 1998-2002 data), tortoises have also been crushed in areas adjacent to roads (see also USFWS 2002), and mortality has likely occurred both above- and belowground (USFWS 1994b). Such cross-country travel has also resulted in loss (Jennings 1993) or damage (USFWS 1994b) of tortoise burrows.

*Relative Impacts Attributed To Trucks versus Motorcycles:* Data do not indicate if the tortoises (or carcasses) were crushed by motorcycles or trucks, but it was more likely by trucks, given the larger surface area affected by four large tires, and the following considerations. The location of tortoises and burrows likely affects the potential for them to be differentially crushed by trucks or motorcycles. Compared to trucks, motorcyclists are less likely to ride through and crush shrubs, so tortoises and burrows under shrubs are somewhat less vulnerable to this impact. The visibility from a motorcycle also makes it likely that cyclists can more readily see and avoid tortoises. Comparatively, operators of four-wheel drive trucks often crush shrubs, have limited visibility from inside the vehicle, and are probably more likely to crush tortoises and burrows than are cyclists.

Cross-country travel by both trucks and motorcycles results in degradation of habitat, which may result in poor forage quality and reduced burrowing potential. Motorcycles are significantly more maneuverable between shrubs, in mountainous areas above 20% slope, and many other places that are less accommodating to trucks. This maneuverability has resulted in more cross-country travel by motorcycles than by trucks, although there are exceptions in localized areas. The 27% increase of trails between 1979 and 1995 observed in the southern part of the proposed Ord-Rodman DWMA was predominantly due to motorcycle traffic, and likely due to the proximity with Johnson Valley Open Area, which is immediately east. Therefore, although cyclists are less likely to crush tortoises than truck operators, they are more likely to leave roads, and are more likely to degrade habitats in areas with few roads, compared to trucks.

*Prevalence of Vehicle Crushing:* Sign count data indicate that vehicles crushed 28 (27%) of the 104 carcasses where the cause of death could be ascertained. These results are remarkably similar to those of distance sampling in the West Mojave Plan's proposed Fremont-Kramer and Superior-Cronese DWMAs, where vehicle crushing accounted for 32% (14 of 44) of all observed carcasses where cause of death was given.

Vehicle crushing has resulted in about a third of the tortoise deaths observed where cause could be determined, with only mammalian predation being more prevalent. Unlike catastrophic die-offs, where the cause of death is unknown, and mammalian predation, which is widespread and may not be controllable, vehicle impacts may be controlled. Route reductions, signing and fencing programs, restriction on competitive events in the proposed DWMAs, education program, and increased law enforcement are pragmatic ways of minimizing vehicle impacts.

*Adult Versus Subadult Tortoises Crushed:* The data suggest that adult tortoises are more likely to be crushed than subadult tortoises, although the lower detectability of smaller carcasses may, in part, account for the difference. Sign count data for the 28 crushed carcasses indicate

that 23 (82%) were adults, 4 (14%) were subadults, and 1 (4%) was unknown. Similarly, distance-sampling data indicate that 12 of the 14 (86%) crushed carcasses were of adult tortoises, 1 (7%) was a subadult, and 1 (7%) was unknown.

*Aboveground Tortoise Activity in Response to Wet versus Dry Years:* Sign count and distance-sampling data indicated within a give year, tortoises are more likely to be aboveground (i.e., active) in the spring and in burrows (i.e., inactive) in the summer-fall. The distance sampling data suggest that increased activity patterns occur on a *regional* scale, not just on a *local* scale. This may be the first evidence that increased tortoise activity patterns in response to rainfall occur on a population level instead of at the individual level.

These observations are significant for the following reasons:

- ?? Heightened activity in wetter years may put more tortoises at risk to being crushed by vehicles, both on and adjacent to designated routes. This impact is more likely to occur in higher density areas where operators are more likely to encounter tortoises.
- ?? Illegal activities that are facilitated by roads (i.e., poaching, pet collection, inter-regional translocations, intentional vandalism, etc.), may occur more frequently in wetter years, given that tortoises are substantially more visible aboveground than in burrows. Increased law enforcement in higher density areas during such conditions may minimize these impacts when and where they are most likely to occur.
- ?? Vehicles traveling in washes in wetter years may impact relatively more tortoises than in dry years. It has been suggested that vehicle travel in washes during drought periods would result in more impacts. This may not be true if tortoise activity in washes occurs at reduced levels, although tortoises in burrows would still be affected by vehicle travel in washes.

*Locations of Tortoises:* There were 491 sign count and distance sampling tortoises observed between 1998 and 2002. Their locations and other information are given in Table 3-4. Distance sampling tortoises for 2001 and 2002 are given in the middle two rows of the table. Arrows show the directions to which percentages apply; the two middle rows are relative to “Distance Both Years” shown in the fourth row of data.

**OHV Impacts to Tortoises in Washes:** During his studies at the Desert Tortoise Natural Area in the early 1990's, Jennings (1993, 1997a, 1997b) found that tortoises systematically located preferred forage along the margins of small washes. They spent a considerable amount of time traveling along washes, and apparently used washes as navigational aids to relocate burrows. For example, more than 25 percent of all plants on which tortoises fed, and three of the ten most-preferred plants, were in the washes and washlets, even though washes comprised only 10.3% of the study area habitats (1997). Given this information, he concluded that OHV use may disorient tortoises (1993) and that tortoises will be forced to select other less-preferred and possibly less-nutritious plant species (1997a).

**Table 3-4**  
**Characteristics of 491 Tortoises Found Between 1998 and 2002**

LOCATIONS OF TORTOISES <sup>1</sup>										
		288 IN BURROWS					203 ABOVEGROUND			
Type Years	Total	No Obs	Shrubs Rocks	Open	Wash Banks	Unk	No Obs	Open	Shrubs Rocks	Washes
Sign Count 98-99-01	275 ? 56%	202 ? 74 ? 70%	116 ? 57%	58 ? 29%	20 ? 10%	8 ? 4%	73 ? 26% ? 36%	67 ? 92% ? 40%	3 ? 4% ? 9%	3 ? 4% ? 4%
Distance 2001	104 ? 21%	29 ? 28% ? 10%	29 in Burrows; no location data				75 ? 72% ? 37%	58 ? 77% ? 34%	17 ? 23% ? 37%	See footnote
Distance 2002	112 ? 23%	57 ? 51% ? 20	57 in Burrows; no location data				55 ? 49% ? 27%	43 ? 78% ? 26%	12 ? 22% ? 37%	
Distance Both Years	216 ? 44%	86 ? 40% ? 30%	86 in both years				130 ? 60% ? 64%	101 ? 78% ? 60%	29 ? 22% ? 91%	
Total	491	288 ? 59%					203 ? 41%	168 ? 84%	32 ? 16%	

Jennings (1997a) also found that tortoises generally spent more time traveling and foraging in hills, washes, and washlets than on the flats, and that hills and washes were favored in the planning area for use by OHV recreationists. Given this overlap, he concluded that tortoises are more likely to suffer direct mortality from vehicles than if they used the habitat randomly.

### **3.3.2.6.3 Direct Impacts of OHVs on Desert Tortoise Habitat**

**Habitat Degradation:** Lovich and Bainbridge (1999) found that the wheel tracks of a full-size OHV vehicle operating in an undisturbed area could damage almost 1.25 acres (0.5 ha) with every 4 miles (6.44 km) traveled. Goodlett and Goodlett (1991) reported that impacts in the Rand Mountain area were highest close to open routes. Open routes may induce negative impacts for substantial distances; even at 500-feet from an open route, unauthorized tracks were observed at a rate of almost one per 20 linear feet.

Negative effects on the desert environment have been summarized (National Ecology Research Center 1990, USFWS 1994b). Impacts include damage to and loss of habitat (Jennings 1997a, USFWS 2002) and severe declines in biomass of plants and vertebrates (USFWS 1994b). Both annual and perennial plants are affected (Jennings 1997a, National Ecology Research Center 1990), which in turn affect forage quality, water availability, and thermoregulation (USFWS 1994b).

<sup>1</sup> Sign count data are shown in the 1<sup>st</sup> row for 275 tortoises, and in the 4<sup>th</sup> row for 216 distance-sampling tortoises 86 animals found in burrows during distance sampling, but was provided for aboveground tortoises. The total aboveground estimates of 84% in the open and 16% under shrubs are for 200 tortoises observed outside washes. It would be incorrect to conclude that only 3 of 203 tortoises were in washes; the correct conclusion is that 3 of 73 (4%) were found in washes, in the summer to fall period; even this number is likely an underestimate, as surveyors likely failed to indicate all tortoises and burrows associated with washes.

Vollmer *et al.* (1976) reported that cross-country OHV travel impaired annual plant productivity, retarded shrub regrowth, resulted in less plant cover and density, and conspicuously decreased shrub biomass. In comparing areas of different disturbance levels, Webb *et al.* (1983) concluded that light OHV use might not cause the severity of impact that occurs in some ghost towns, but OHV pit areas have more soil and vegetation disruption than naturally recovering ghost towns. Berry (1996) indicated that OHV use directly affects plants and animals by disrupting the distribution, composition, structure, diversity, and biomass of animal and plant communities; changing the watershed; and promoting desertification.

The USFWS (2002) concluded that unauthorized activities, particularly OHV use, have degraded desert tortoise habitat. The access provided by the BLM for legitimate uses, such as recreation, facilitates some degree of unauthorized use (USFWS 2002). In addition to unauthorized roads and trails, areas that are frequently used for loading and unloading vehicles can be severely degraded (USFWS 2002).

**Habitat Regeneration:** Vollmer *et al.* (1976), upon revisiting their study plot 18 months after the tests were conducted, found that little damage to shrubs was apparent from a distance, but that when viewed from nearby, tracks were clearly discernible. They concluded that truck tracks can persist at least 10 to 12 years depending on the substrate, and that shrub cover may be re-established within a couple of decades if there is no further damage. National Ecology Research Center (1990) estimated full-recovery time required to ameliorate severe OHV impacts should probably be estimated in terms of human life spans; and that hundreds or thousands of years may be necessary for disturbed areas to recover. Stowe (1988) found that many of the older, smaller trails that were identified 1977-78 appeared to be unused in 1988, and in some cases the vegetation appeared to be growing back over the edges of the trails.

**OHV Impacts to Wash Habitats:** Jennings (1993, 1997a) found that vehicles' driving in washes disturbed relatively rare species of plants that were restricted to washes. LaRue (1997) found catclaw acacia and desert willow mostly restricted to washes in the Ord Mountain area. Damage observed in the Ord Mountains included disturbed soil and terrain, crushed shrubs, and eroded margins of washes, which led to widening of the washes. He found that some routes in washes became impassable when banks and boulders were encountered, which necessitated turning around and resulted in new shrub damage.

**OHV Impacts to Soils:** OHV use has resulted in the following impacts to soils (see also National Ecology Research Center 1990): damage or destruction of soil crusts (24), soil erosion (Trombulak and Frissell 2000, USFWS 1994b), and interrupted run-off patterns (Trombulak and Frissell 2000). Vollmer *et al.* (1976) found that OHV use changed soil compaction and permeability, and that disruption of soils may not be fully expressed until years after the original impact. Berry (1996) found alterations to and erosion (wind, water) of soil and soil crusts, and adverse effects to soil porosity, chemistry, moisture, and temperature. Lovich and Bainbridge (1999) observed that areas they considered least susceptible to water and wind erosion, following OHV use, were dunes, playas, and areas with abundant coarse surface material.



#### **3.3.2.6.4 Indirect Impacts of OHVs on Desert Tortoises and Habitat**

**Human Access:** Berry (1996) indicated that human access results in increased damage to plants, animals, and soils. This access results in exploitation, removal, unintentional or intentional disturbance, and harassment of wildlife. She also reported adverse effects on other visitors and increased deposition of garbage and refuse. Fire regimes are altered as a result of human-induced fires and the proliferation of alien or non-indigenous plants.

USFWS (1994b) indicated that the presence of routes facilitates the removal of desert tortoises (predation for food, collecting for pets, and commercial trade), vandalism, and release of captive desert tortoises. Dumping, numbers and locations of wild fires, harvest and vandalism of vegetation, and predation by dogs and ravens may increase proportionate to available access. Routes have been implicated in the proliferation of weeds, resulting in more wildfire (USFWS 2002, USFWS 1994b). Berry (1996) found that tortoise populations decreased with increasing percentages of introduced annual plants.

**Spread of Weeds:** Lovich (1992) concluded that, among other things, tortoise habitats have been negatively affected by construction of roads and utility corridors. Brooks (1998) and Frenkel (1970) concluded that dominance of alien annual plants is the highest where road densities are high, and that minimizing the number of paved and dirt roads and maintaining non-roaded wilderness areas may reduce the dominance of aliens.

Trombulak and Frissell (2000) listed seven general effects of roads, including spread of exotic species, and indicated that roads are commonly identified as important correlates or indicators of loss of ecological health. They reported that roads provide dispersal of exotic species via three mechanisms: providing habitat by altering conditions, making invasion more likely by stressing or removing native species, and allowing easier movement by wild or human vectors. Hourdequin (2000) found that, whereas roads negatively affect some species, others may benefit; that many exotic plant species thrive along roadsides; that roads can act as corridors for the dispersal of plant seeds; and that roads may also provide habitat and movement corridors for opportunistic species such as weeds. Tracy (1995) showed that fires are mainly started along roads, and that a majority of those are along paved roads.

**Route Proliferation:** USFWS (1994b) identified route proliferation as a threat. LaRue (1997) reported that there had been a 27% increase in detectable routes between 1978 and 1989 in the Ord Mountain area. Much of it resulted from motorcycle use in the southern parts of the proposed Ord-Rodman DWMA, west of and including the Cinnamon Hills. The USFWS (2002) reported that recreationists used legal routes to gain access to popular staging and camping sites, and that impacts emanated out from such areas, impacting less disturbed habitats. Stow (1988) reported that light OHV activity escalated into heavier use and more impacts. Vollmer *et al.* (1976) expressed concern that once an area was heavily used, recreationists would abandon the area in search of new and intact environments.

**No OHV Impacts or Minimal Impacts Observed:** Vollmer *et al.* (1976) found no indication that driving interfered with rodent reproduction, side-blotched lizard reproduction, or animal population trends. Few shrubs were outright killed, and plant density and diversity

remained essentially unaltered. They found creosote bush recovered if root crowns were not destroyed; damaged plants were scarcely distinguishable after 10 years. It was not clear that the density of annuals was reduced by vehicular traffic during their study.

**OHV Impacts Uncertain:** In 2002, the USFWS concluded that reductions in the amount of open routes are likely to provide some level of benefit to the desert tortoise. However, neither the BLM nor the USFWS had definitive information on how differing route networks may affect the desert tortoise; presumably, roadless areas would have the least adverse effect on desert tortoises and their habitat. Vollmer *et al.* (1976) found it difficult to gauge the impact of less intensive OHV-use areas. The extent that any changes in the access network affect the desert tortoise would be difficult to measure because of the slow reproductive rate of the species and other factors, such as disease, drought, and predation, that may be affecting the number of individuals in a region. No quantitative information was available concerning how frequently desert users leave routes of travel to camp, stop, and park outside of existing disturbed areas. In at least some areas that are occupied by the desert tortoise, the density of vegetation would likely prevent most desert users from leaving the routes of travel (USFWS 2002).

### **3.3.3 Mohave Ground Squirrel**

The following consists of short excerpts taken from the comprehensive treatment of the Mohave ground squirrel (MGS) that is being prepared for the West Mojave Plan EIR/S (expected to be published in May 2003). The discussion below is limited to a general overview of MGS life history, as well as those materials that have a direct bearing on the relationship between dispersed off highway vehicle use and MGS.

#### **3.3.3.1 Mohave Ground Squirrel Range**

The entire known range of the Mohave ground squirrel (MGS) is within the planning area (except for a very small area northeast of Searles Valley, in the NEMO planning area). The known range (Gustafson 1993) is bounded to the south by the San Gabriel and San Bernardino mountains, to the east and southeast by the Mojave River, to the west by Palmdale and Lancaster<sup>2</sup>, to the west and northwest by the Sierra Nevada, to the north by the Coso Range and Olancho, and to the northeast by the Avawatz and Granite mountains on the Fort Irwin National Training Center.

The MGS has apparently been eliminated from Lucerne Valley (Wessman 1977), where it was first trapped (at Rabbit Springs) in 1886. The most recent (1993) range map no longer includes the western portion of the Antelope Valley east to Highway 14 between Palmdale and Mojave, an area previously considered within the MGS's range (CDFG 1980). No new data collected since 1993 support either extensions or reductions of the known range.

The known range of the MGS is probably associated with elevation, rainfall patterns, temperature, suitable plant communities and substrates, topographical barriers, and other factors. In reviewing available records, Gustafson (1993) found that the highest known elevation was at

---

<sup>2</sup> Laabs (1998) found no records for the Antelope Valley west of Palmdale and Mojave.

5,600 (1,728 meters) feet on China Lake NAWS (Michael Brandman Associates 1988). Laabs (1998) reported the highest known elevation at about 5,000 feet (1,524 meters), which occurred along the eastern slope of the Sierra (Freeman Canyon, Bird Spring Canyon, and Jawbone Canyon). The California Natural Diversity Data Base (CNDDB) has reported them from an elevation range of 1,800 to 5,000 feet (548-1524 meters).

### 3.3.3.2 Life History<sup>3</sup>

**Species Description:** The MGS is one of two members of the subgenus *Xerospermophilus*, which also includes the round-tailed ground squirrel (*Spermophilus tereticaudus*) of the eastern Mojave and Sonoran deserts (Hall 1981; Nowak 1991). The MGS measures 8.3-9.1 inches (210-230 mm) in total length, 2.2-2.8 inches (57-72 mm) in tail length, and 1.3-1.5 inches (32-38 mm) in hind foot length (Hall 1981), which helps differentiate it from the smaller antelope ground squirrel (*Ammospermophilus leucurus*) and the considerably larger California ground squirrel (*Spermophilus beecheyi*). Of these four species, the MGS is the only one found entirely within the western Mojave Desert.

**Seasonal Activity:** The MGS exhibits a strongly seasonal cycle of activity and torpor (like hibernation), emerging from dormancy as early as January, but more typically in mid-February or March (Leitner and Leitner 1996). Dates of emergence appear to vary geographically. Males typically emerge one or two weeks prior to females (Recht, pers. comm.). Once a sufficient amount of fat has been accumulated, individuals enter a period of aestivation and hibernation (Bartholomew and Hudson 1961). Aestivation generally begins sometime between July and September, but may begin as early as April or May during drought conditions (Leitner, et al., 1995).

MGS population dynamics are dependent on the amount of fall and winter precipitation (Leitner and Leitner 1996). The failure to reproduce may result in dramatic population declines and, if poor conditions persist for several seasons, may become extirpated from a given area. This may be especially true in less optimal habitats. Therefore, entirely suitable habitats can be unoccupied during some years and become reoccupied in others.

**Substrate Affinities and Burrow Use:** The MGS generally occurs in flat to moderate terrain and is not found in steep terrain. Substrates in occupied habitats have ranged from being very sandy to, less frequently, very rocky (Best 1995, Wessman 1977). For example, of 102 transects surveyed in 1998 (see below) where the MGS had been previously detected, 91 (89%) were identified as predominantly sandy and 11 (11%) were identified as being rocky. The MGS is considered to be absent, or nearly so, on dry lakebeds, lava flows, and steep, rocky slopes (Clark 1993), although juveniles may disperse through such areas (Leitner, pers. comm. in Laabs 1998), probably excluding larger playas.

---

3 Unless otherwise noted, most of the following information is taken from the species account provided for the West Mojave planning effort by long-time MGS trapper, David Laabs, of Biosearch Wildlife Surveys, Santa Cruz, California (referenced as Laabs 1998). Many of the supporting documents were originally cited in Laabs (1998), and are herein included in the literature-cited section.

Individuals may maintain several residence burrows that are used at night, as well as accessory burrows that are used for temperature control and predator avoidance (Laabs 1998). Aestivation burrows are dug specifically for use during the summer and winter period of dormancy (Best 1995), and often occur beneath large shrubs (Leitner et al. 1995).

**Home Ranges:** Home ranges of adults vary between seasons and throughout a season, presumably in response to quantity and quality of food resources. The Leitners' studies in the Coso Range have indicated that there is considerable overlap in the home ranges of individual males and females, though there is no clear evidence that home ranges are defended (Laabs 1998). Juveniles are gregarious, initially staying close to their natal burrows. However, juveniles have demonstrated considerable dispersal abilities, having traveled up to four miles from their birthplace in a matter of a few months.

**Reproduction:** The reproductive success of the MGS is dependent on the amount of fall and winter rains (Laabs 1998) and the new growth of annual forage materials that result. Leitner and Leitner (1992) hypothesized that a standing crop of about 1 gram per square foot may be necessary for MGS reproduction to occur. Leitner and Leitner (1996) found a clear correlation between fall and winter precipitation and the number of juveniles appearing on the same plots in subsequent years. Following low rainfall, annual herbaceous plants are not readily available, the MGS is unable to attain a minimum amount of body fat (identified by the Leitners as 180 g total body weight), and in such years the species forgoes breeding (Recht, pers. comm. in Laabs 1998; Leitner et al. 1995).

The Leitners have consistently observed that in years of poor rainfall (i.e., less than 75 mm since the MGS entered hibernation), the MGS foregoes reproduction in favor of attaining sufficient body fat to make it through the winter. This is likely a physiological adaptation to ensure adult survival, and to avoid birthing young when resources are not sufficient for juveniles to acquire necessary body fat to hibernate. Gustafson (1993) indicated that the "evolutionary strategy of suspending reproductive activity and concentrating on gaining weight ensures the survival of the species (Leitner and Leitner 1990), as long as droughts are of short duration and sufficiently large areas of habitat exist."

MGS adults are solitary except during breeding, which occurs soon after emergence from hibernation. Gestation lasts 28-30 days, at which time between 4 and 10 young are born per litter. Juveniles emerge from natal burrows within four to six weeks, and begin to establish their own home ranges by about mid-May. Mortality is high during the first year (Leitner and Leitner 1996). Females breed in the spring if environmental conditions are appropriate, while males do not normally mate until two years of age (Leitner and Leitner 1996). Laabs (1998) indicated that sex ratio is consistently female biased, with ratios as high as seven females for each male.

**Dispersal:** Juveniles begin making exploratory movements away from the natal burrow by about mid-May to early June, and some individuals eventually make long-distance movements (Leitner et al. 1997). Recent radio-telemetry data suggest that females are more likely than males to remain near their natal burrows (Leitner et al. 1997). In 1997, the majority of radio-collared juvenile males moved greater than 0.6 miles (1 km.), up to a maximum of 3.9

miles (6.2 km.). Juveniles can apparently traverse steep terrain during dispersal (Leitner, pers. comm. in Laabs 1998), and some are known to disperse 3-4 miles from their birthplace (Leitner 1998).

The current, 2002 status of the MGS, in terms of numbers of individuals and amount of occupied habitat, is difficult to assess due to the limitations of available data. The data that are available, the potential associations between MGS historic occurrences and existing habitat characteristics, and results of recent trapping studies are compared and discussed in the following sections.

### 3.3.3.3 Threats

This section provides a general discussion of threats and impacts that are cited in the literature, and includes recent data for comparison. Except for the first section, which describes human disturbances observed during the 1998 survey, the threats are presented in alphabetical order (as opposed to severity or importance of a given threat).

**Human Disturbances Observed During 1998 Vegetation Studies:** During the 1998 survey, biologists collected information on human disturbances observed along each of 310 transects, including those located near previous MGS reports (102 transects) and those located in high and medium quality habitats (208 transects). Table 3-5 indicates the prevalence of disturbance types found along these transects<sup>4</sup>.

**Table 3-5**  
**Prevalence of 10 Types of Disturbances**  
**Observed within the Known Range of the MGS During the 1998 Survey**

TRANSECTS			DISTURBANCE TYPES										
Total	Disturbances		OHV	Road	Sheep	Gun	Dump	Cow	Dog	Mine	Ord	Burn	Total
	None	Yes											
310	168	142	145	116	56	23	20	20	12	6	3	2	403
% of 310 transects			47%	37%	18%	7%	6%	6%	4%	2%	<1%	<1%	
% of 403 disturbances			36%	29%	14%	6%	5%	5%	3%	1%	<1%	<1%	

Surveyors found one or more disturbance categories on 142 (46%) transects, and none of the disturbances on 168 (54%) transects. The three most prevalent disturbances were cross-country travel on 145 (47%) of the 310 transects, roads on 116 (37%) transects, and sheep sign on 56 (18%) transects. Importantly, this represents another, independent data set showing the same relative levels of occurrence of these impact types. In an earlier table comparing impacts

<sup>4</sup> "OHV" refers to cross-country vehicle tracks, which were created by trucks, motorcycles, and all-terrain vehicles. "Road" includes trails, and usually included routes passable by trucks. Sheep, cow, and dog sign were usually feces. "Guns" does not differentiate between legal activities (e.g., hunting, regulated target practice, etc.) and illegal ones (e.g., shooting glass and articles at dump sites). "Dumps" generally required a vehicle to off-load the materials, so does not include litter. "Mines" may have included pits and adits, exploratory excavations, borrow pits, etc. "Ord" refers to military ordnance, which typically included spent cartridges and clips from aircraft. Two transects occurred in areas previously burned. Most of the transects (237 of 310, 76%) were surveyed by LaRue, so subjective determinations among surveyors is not considered a significant problem.

in proposed West Mojave Plan DWMAs with urban areas, disturbances were reported for sign count data collected on 1,572 transects in the Fremont-Kramer and Superior-Cronese DWMAs between 1998 and 2002 (see tortoise section). In that independent data set, cross country travel was observed on 45% of transects (compared to 47% above), dirt roads on 53% of transects (37% above), and sheep sign on 13% of transects (18% above).

**Off Highway Vehicles:** Off-highway vehicles may pose a threat to the MGS by crushing individuals or burrows, and degrading habitats (Gustafson 1993, Laabs 1998). With time, the plant diversity and abundance decreases in areas with intense OHV use (Laabs 1998), which reduces cover needed by the species for shade and forage. Gustafson (1993; citing Bury and Luckenbach 1977), reported that even light OHV use in the Mojave Desert can result in lost or compacted topsoil, unavailability of seeds for birds and mammals, and disrupted soil mantles. Gustafson (1993) reported, "...it is known that the squirrel is run over by vehicle[s]," but did not provide any specific reports.

There is anecdotal evidence that the MGS may be killed on both paved and dirt roads, although it has been suggested that they are too quick for this to happen. For example, during tortoise surveys conducted near Water Valley, northwest of Barstow, in 1998, LaRue crushed a juvenile male MGS on a dirt road as it attempted to cross in front of his truck. In 1997, LaRue observed a juvenile male (likely a hybrid) as it was crushed on National Trails Highway, several miles north of Helendale. One of the nine MGS observed in 1998 (LaRue, unpublished data) darted into burrows that were located in the berms of a dirt road. The juvenile female was observed for about 20 minutes eating cryptantha alongside the road, and later using two different burrows located in berms on opposite sides of the road. Recht (1977) also observed MGS feeding on Russian thistle that was congregated along shoulders of roads in northeastern Los Angeles County.

Goodlett and Goodlett (1991) have shown, in the Rand Mountains, that the heaviest vehicle impacts occur immediately adjacent to both open and closed routes. It is plausible, then, that individual MGS using resources adjacent to roads are more likely to be in harm's way than those animals occurring in roadless areas. It is also plausible that juvenile MGS, which are most likely to travel longer distances than adults, are somewhat more susceptible to vehicle impacts than adults. Although adults may still be susceptible to vehicle impacts within their somewhat-fixed home ranges, dispersing juveniles are likely to encounter more roads than an adult living within a fixed region.

The potential to crush squirrels likely increases as the prevalence and use of roads increases in a given region. Given the relatively higher incidence of cross-country travel in open areas (1998-2001 WMP data), vehicle impacts are more likely to occur there and other places with similar densities of cross-country tracks, depending on resident and dispersing populations of the MGS. This would suggest that there may be relatively more impacts in the Spangler Hills, Jawbone Canyon, Dove Springs and El Mirage open areas, which occur within the range, but does not negate the possibility that impacts may also be prevalent in heavy OHV use areas, such as occur in the vicinity of "Camp C" near the western end of the Rand Mountains.

In Table 3-6, incidences of six vehicle-based disturbances observed between 1998 and

2002 are compared between designated open areas and one heavy OHV use area, between California City and the Rand Mountains. The first table lists data for trails, tracks, and litter; followed by targets, hunting, and camping in the second table.

**Table 3-6**  
**Prevalence of Vehicle-Based Disturbances in Four Areas of Comparison**

AREA	SQUARE MILES, SUM, AND AVERAGE VEHICLE-BASED DISTURBANCES OBSERVED (1998-2002) IN THREE OPEN AREAS AND ONE HEAVY OHV USE AREA								
	Trails			Tracks			Litter		
	Mi <sup>2</sup>	Sum	Ave	Mi <sup>2</sup>	Sum	Ave	Mi <sup>2</sup>	Sum	Ave
Spangler	121	2336	19.3	127	12140	95.6	121	4734	39.1
El Mirage	19	322	16.9	19	2294	120.7	20	437	21.9
Jawbone/Dove	24	370	15.4	22	406	18.5	22	381	17.3
Cal. City/Rands	110	878	8.0	156	8162	52.3	156	3295	21.1
<b>Totals</b>	274	3906	14.3	324	23002	71.0	319	8847	27.7

AREA	SQUARE MILES, SUM, AND AVERAGE VEHICLE-BASED DISTURBANCES IN HEAVY OHV USE AREA								
	Target			Hunting			Camping		
	Mi <sup>2</sup>	Sum	Ave	Mi <sup>2</sup>	Sum	Ave	Mi <sup>2</sup>	Sum	Ave
Spangler	56	1006	18.0	12	13	1.1	7	18	2.4
El Mirage	12	136	11.3	6	14	2.3	2	2	1.0
Jawbone/Dove	16	281	17.6	1	1	1.0	2	5	2.5
Cal. City/Rands	76	498	6.5	19	28	1.5	14	21	1.5
<b>Totals</b>	160	1921	12.0	38	56	1.5	25	46	1.8

The tables document the types of heaviest vehicle-based impacts observed within the range of the MGS in three open areas (excluding Olancha, which was not surveyed) and one heavy OHV use area. All vehicle-based impacts in these areas were above average, as described in the tortoise section. The data include vehicle impacts both inside and outside the open areas, the latter of which are clearly associated with the vehicle impacts emanating from open areas.

One can see that the Spangler Hills Open Area had the highest incidences of trails (19.3/mi<sup>2</sup> compared to 16.9/mi<sup>2</sup> at the next highest incidence at El Mirage), litter (39.1/mi<sup>2</sup> compared to 21.0/mi<sup>2</sup> at El Mirage), and target shooting (18.0/mi<sup>2</sup> compared to 17.6 at Jawbone Canyon/Dove Springs). El Mirage had the highest incidence of cross-country vehicle tracks (at 120.7/mi<sup>2</sup> compared to Spangler Hills at 95.6/mi<sup>2</sup>) and hunting areas (2.3/mi<sup>2</sup> compared to 1.5/mi<sup>2</sup> in the heavy OHV use area).

Cumulatively, one finds there to be 274 mi<sup>2</sup> affected by above average trails, 324 mi<sup>2</sup> impacted by tracks, 319 mi<sup>2</sup> by litter, 160 mi<sup>2</sup> by target shooting, 38 mi<sup>2</sup> by hunting, and 25 mi<sup>2</sup> by camping. Tracks and trails are most likely to affect the MGS, as shooting has not been identified as a direct threat to the species. These impacts were most prevalent in the open areas, where this sort of activity will likely remain or increase under present and future management on these class I lands.

Two of the 23 sites trapped for the MGS in 2002 included the El Mirage and Spangler Hills open areas (Leitner, pers. comm. 2002) where no MGS were trapped. However, the absence of squirrels cannot be attributed to vehicle use in those two areas. El Mirage is located south of Highway 58, where no MGS were captured on eight of the nine trapping grids, including the one in the open area. Nor were any of the high concentrations of winterfat and hopsage identified in 1998 (LaRue, unpublished data) associated with either open area.

Data show that there is a “spill-over” effect from the open areas, where relatively higher incidences of vehicle impacts were found in adjacent areas, compared to non-adjacent lands. The prevalence of cross-country vehicle tracks north of El Mirage Open Area will probably be reduced due to boundary fencing installed in the late 1990’s. Other areas, adjacent to Jawbone and Spangler Hills, remain susceptible to open area-related impacts as no fences have been installed.

Vehicle-based impacts may be prevalent in areas that are not adjacent to open areas. Within the MGS Conservation Area, these areas include lands within the Rand Mountains, west of Silver Lakes, within Kramer Hills, north of Hinkley, and southwest of Fort Irwin. Smaller areas also exist east and northeast of Fremont Peak, Fremont Valley, Iron Mountains north of Silver Lakes, Superior Valley (one 4-mile region), and southeast of Harper Lake.

Citing Bury and Luckenbach (1977), Gustafson indicated “One result [of OHV activity] is a reduction in the number of spring annuals in areas of off-highway vehicle use” and “...off-highway vehicles detrimentally affect wildlife and creosote bush scrub habitat in the Mojave Desert.” Brooks (1999a, 2000) found non-native plant species were more common alongside roads, and that roads served as dispersal corridors for weed species. Weeds, in turn, provide fuels that result in hotter fires and relatively larger burned areas. Non-native annuals serve to spread fires between shrubs far more readily than the native annual flora (Brooks 1999b). Gustafson (1993) indicated wildfires are probably hot enough to kill seeds, sprouting shrubs, and squirrels within their burrows. He felt this was a temporary impact that would be remedied when vegetation became re-established.

**Rand Mountains Fremont Valley Management Plan:** The BLM completed a Rand Mountains Fremont Valley Management Plan (Rand Plan) in August 1993 (U.S. Bureau of Land Management 1993), which included 65,020 acres (101.6 mi<sup>2</sup>) of public lands 35 miles south of Ridgecrest and immediately north of California City. The area encompasses about 24,320 acres (38 mi<sup>2</sup>) of previously designated MGS Crucial Habitat. The Rand Plan ranked the MGS as second only to the desert tortoise on its list of the most sensitive wildlife resources in the management area. The Rand Plan called for: (1) amendment of the CDCA Plan to reflect a proposed 13,120 acre (20.5 mi<sup>2</sup>) expansion of the Rand ACEC and a BLM multiple use class change from class M to class L; (2) acquisition of private lands; and (3) a mineral withdrawal. To date, the CDCA Plan has not been amended for the ACEC expansion and multiple use class change; acquisitions of private lands and mineral withdrawal have not occurred. Facilitating implementation of the Rand Plan is considered a high priority for MGS conservation in the West Mojave Plan.



### **3.3.4 Other Species**

The following discussion addresses only those special status plants and animals that might be sensitive to impacts from off highway vehicles. These are short excerpts taken from the comprehensive treatment of over 100 species that is being prepared for the West Mojave Plan EIR/S (expected to be published in May 2003). The discussion below is limited to a general overview of the species in question.

#### **3.3.4.1 Bats (BLM sensitive, California Species of Special Concern)**

Six species of special status bats are found within the West Mojave: long-legged myotis, California leaf-nosed bat, spotted bat, pallid bat, Western mastiff bat and Townsend's big-eared bat.

The California leaf-nosed bat and Townsend's big-eared bat are colonial cave dwellers thought to have declining populations. The California leaf-nosed bat is known to be dependent on desert wash foraging habitat near the roosts. The Townsend's big-eared bat is dependent on riparian habitat within five miles of the roosts.

The spotted bat, pallid bat, and western mastiff bat are cliff dwellers. However, roosts of pallid bat have been located in mine adits within the planning area. The long-legged myotis is primarily a tree-dweller occurring at higher elevations than those found in the planning area.

All except one of the identified significant roosts are on public (NPS and BLM) lands. The Dale Mining District in the Pinto Mountains, including portions of Joshua Tree National Park, contains many shafts and adits known to harbor bats of several species. Six significant roosts have been located, and the potential for several more is present.

**Threats:** The most serious direct threats to bats are disturbances of hibernation and maternity roosts and destruction of roosting habitat, primarily old mines and natural caves. Old buildings and bridges also provide roosts for some species. Loss and degradation of foraging areas threatens certain species. Potential recreation impacts include access to significant roosts and degradation of foraging habitat for Townsend's big-eared bat and California leaf-nosed bat.

#### **3.3.4.2 Bighorn Sheep (BLM Sensitive, California Fully Protected)**

Within the West Mojave planning area, 16 bighorn sheep populations are known to have existed as defined by mountain range complexes. Five of these 16 areas no longer contain populations, three have been reintroduced, and two have been augmented with sheep from another population. Radio telemetry studies of bighorn sheep in the Mojave Desert have found considerable movement of these sheep between mountain ranges. This is especially true of males, but also of ewes. Within individual mountain ranges, populations often are small.

Surface water is an essential element of desert bighorn habitat. Male and female bighorn sheep inhabiting desert ecosystems can survive without consuming surface water, and males

appear to drink infrequently in many situations; however, there are no known large populations of bighorn sheep in the desert region that lack access to surface water.

The majority of bighorn sheep herds are located on military bases, especially China Lake NAWS and Twentynine Palms MCAGCC. Additional populations are found in the Rodman and Ord Mountains (occasionally ranging west onto Sidewinder and Stoddard ridges), Newberry Mountains, and on the north slope of the San Bernardino Mountains. Much of the habitat is within designated Wilderness.

**Threats:** Potential threats to bighorn include loss or disturbance of springs and waterholes, incremental loss of habitat, contact with domestic sheep that can introduce disease, and blockage of linkages by roads, canals, or fences. Vehicle intrusion into occupied habitat, especially lambing areas, can be a minor threat.

#### **3.3.4.3 Mojave River Vole (BLM Sensitive, California Species Of Special Concern)**

The Mojave River vole occupies moist habitats along the middle reaches of the Mojave River. The range of this subspecies is entirely within the West Mojave planning area. It is found in wetland and riparian habitats along the Mojave River between Victorville and Helendale. Additional potential habitat lies upstream of Victorville towards Hesperia. Voles have been captured at Harper Lake, Edwards Air Force Base near Piute Ponds and Rogers Dry Lake, and at China Lake Naval Air Weapons Station. It is unknown which subspecies these specimens are.

**Threats:** Habitat destruction and fragmentation due to agriculture and urbanization are the primary threats. Concentrated off-highway-vehicle use and other surface-disturbing activities are also threats. Virtually all the potential habitat along the Mojave River, with the exception of the Mojave Narrows Regional Park, is in private ownership.

#### **3.3.4.4 Yellow-eared Pocket Mouse (BLM Sensitive)**

This mouse inhabits the eastern slopes of the Piute Mountains and Sierra Nevada along the western fringe of the Mojave Desert. Little information is available regarding habitat requirements except that it has been found in Joshua tree woodland, desert scrub, pinyon-juniper, mixed and montane chaparral, sagebrush and bunchgrass habitats. It occurs primarily in sandy soils with sparse to moderate shrub cover with elevations of known localities ranging between 1030-1615 meters. Most of the range is within the West Mojave on the eastern slope of the Sierra Nevada and Piute Mountains. The species is known from Kelso Valley, Horse Canyon, Sage Canyon, Freeman Canyon, Indian Wells Canyon and Sand Canyon. Similar habitat, which may harbor the species, is present both north and south of this region, as well as in intervening canyons.

**Threats:** Given the small range of the yellow-eared pocket mouse, any major disturbance of its known or suspected habitat could have significant deleterious effects. Cattle and sheep grazing pose a potential threat due to the effects on plant assemblages or erosion of soils. Off-highway vehicle activity and mineral extraction are other potential threats, due to their effects on native vegetation. Most of the canyons supporting the species have roads and are

therefore accessible. Wind-energy production also poses a potential threat, resulting from impacts associated with road networks.

#### **3.3.4.5 Bendire's Thrasher (California Species of Special Concern)**

This species breeds in desert areas containing cactus, Mojave yuccas, and Joshua trees. The western Mojave Desert comprises a small portion of the total range, which extends east to the east Mojave and Arizona. The historical range in the West Mojave was considerably larger than at present, and the occupied habitat in 1986 consisted of six disjunct populations: 1) Yucca Valley; 2) Kelso Valley; 3) Coolgardie Mesa; 4) Joshua Tree National Park; 5) SE Apple Valley; and 6) N. Lucerne Valley. Surveys conducted in 2001 failed to detect Bendire's thrasher at most of these locations or at a control site in the east Mojave. Only Coolgardie Mesa and Joshua Tree National Park had nesting birds.

**Threats:** Identified threats include rural and urban development, off-road vehicle activity during the nesting season, and removal of yuccas and cholla cacti. Grazing has shown both positive and negative effects on this species. Fragmentation of the small remaining populations is a serious long-term threat.

#### **3.3.4.6 Burrowing Owl (California Species of Special Concern)**

This raptor is found in level grassland, prairie or desert floor habitat. It has adapted well to locations on the urban fringe, such as flood control channels or agricultural areas. Existing records of burrowing owls include 53 records within the western Mojave Desert. These represent only a small sample of the locations at which burrowing owls have recently been or currently are present. Of the 53 records, 23 (43%) are from within Edwards Air Force Base; all of these have no specific locale or date. Of the other 30 records, only 13 have specific locales and dates. Probable or confirmed breeding was noted at five locales.

**Threats:** Potential threats include direct mortality from man (including vehicle collisions), pesticide and rodenticide poisoning; habitat degradation, destruction and loss; and predators. Disturbance by vehicles at nest sites is a threat.

#### **3.3.4.7 Golden eagle (California Fully Protected, Species of Special Concern)**

This species uses rugged and remote mountain ranges for nesting. It forages over open desert in a range approaching 100 square miles. The golden eagle is widespread in mountainous areas of the planning area. The Argus Mountains, El Paso Mountains, Newberry Mountains, Red Mountain and the southern Sierra Nevada Mountains contain several golden eagle nest sites. Golden eagles sometimes make new nests on electrical transmission lines, as in Adelanto.

**Threats:** The three main threats to the Golden Eagle are: 1) shooting; 2) electrocution from electrical distribution and transmission lines; and 3) lead poisoning from eating carrion with bullet fragments. Disturbance from vehicles or human activities at nest sites is a minor threat.

#### **3.3.4.8 Inyo California Towhee (Federal Threatened, California Endangered)**

The Inyo California towhee is a narrow endemic whose range is almost entirely within the planning area. The USFWS has prepared a Recovery Plan and critical habitat has been designated. In 1998, an extensive survey of the entire range of this bird was conducted. The bird nests near riparian vegetation, including very small springs and seeps, and forages in mixed Mojave desert scrub. It ranges from 2680 feet to 5630 feet in elevation. All towhee sightings have been within 700 yards of a water source. This bird is restricted to the southern half of the Argus Range in Inyo County. The extent of occupied habitat has been estimated at 24,176 acres. This figure does not include mountainous areas between nesting territories that may be used for dispersal or in the non-nesting season.

**Threats:** Destruction and degradation of habitat by feral burros and horses is a primary threat. Other potential threats include cattle grazing, off-highway vehicle activities, mining, and encroachment by rural residents. Water exportation from occupied springs (Bainter Spring, Alpha Spring, Benko Spring, North Ruth Spring #3) is a current threat or potential threat. Trespass camping and hunting near the springs may impact the birds and their habitat. Invasive exotic plants are present at some of the water sources that can reduce the quality of the nesting habitat.

#### **3.3.4.9 LeConte's Thrasher (California Species of Special Concern)**

The habitat for this species is creosote bush scrub with stands of cholla cactus, Joshua trees, and thorny shrubs. LeConte's thrasher is widespread throughout the planning area, favoring areas of cacti, Joshua trees, and desert washes. It is absent from playas and mountainous areas.

**Threats:** The primary threat is loss of habitat and fragmentation of habitat into segments too small to support a viable population in the long term. LeConte's thrashers are sensitive to vehicle traffic during the nesting season, especially off road travel in washes.

#### **3.3.4.10 Prairie Falcon (California Species of Special Concern)**

The prairie falcon is found throughout the western Mojave Desert, although it generally avoids urbanized areas. Nests are located on cliffs in rugged mountain ranges, often within ½ mile of a water source. Mountain ranges near agricultural areas also are favored because of increased prey density near nest sites. In winter, birds disperse widely, and are joined by migratory birds from northern latitudes.

**Threats:** Human disturbance at certain prairie falcon nest sites is a threat. Urbanization surrounding an historical eyrie gradually degrades the foraging habitat and increases disturbance at the nest site so that they are abandoned. New mining projects occasionally threaten selected nest sites.

#### **3.3.4.11 Western Snowy Plover (California Species of Special Concern)**

The Western snowy plover nests in the West Mojave on certain playas and wetland areas. Most appear to depart for the winter, but migrants and wintering birds are known from a few localities. They favor playas, seasonal wetlands, and sewage treatment ponds or ponds managed for wintering waterfowl. Western snowy plover appears to nest with regularity on Edwards AFB at Piute Ponds. Other reported nest locations are Harper Dry Lake, Koehn Lake, China Lake, Rosamond Lake, Dale Lake, and the evaporation ponds at the Edison facility in Daggett, although the birds may not use these sites every year. A survey of nesting sites for this species at Searles Lake in 2001 recorded 14 broods of chicks and 2 nests were found (LaBerteaux, 2001). No plovers were detected at Koehn Lake in spring 2001 (Cunningham, 2001). No plovers or habitat were detected at Superior Dry Lake or at East and West Cronese Lakes (Wehjte, 2001). Six plovers (five males, one female) were seen at Harper Dry Lake on May 30, 2001, but none were seen on July 6. One pair was judged to be breeding at Harper Dry Lake. The bird may use all of these sites in winter.

**Threats:** Nests are vulnerable to human disturbance, including vehicle traffic and pets. Insufficient water supply to permanent and seasonal wetlands is a problem in many areas, including Harper Dry Lake. Rising water levels that inundate nests is a problem at managed ponds and during exceptional wet years at natural playas. They are very susceptible to predators, including ravens, coyotes, foxes and feral dogs and cats.

#### **3.3.4.12 Mojave Fringe-Toed Lizard (California Species of Special Concern)**

This species is an obligate sand-dweller, found in dunes, sand fields, sand hummocks, and other sand deposits throughout the Mojave Desert in California. Its elevation ranges from 300 to 3000 feet. Its survival requires conservation of the blowsand ecosystem processes, including the sand source, fluvial sand transport areas, aeolian sand transport areas, wind corridors, and the occupied habitat. Mojave fringe-toed lizards occur at several disjunct localities in the planning area. Occupied habitat is found at the Saddleback Buttes region of Los Angeles County, Edwards Air Force Base, El Mirage (historical records), Mojave River near Barstow, Mojave Valley, Alvord Mountain, Pisgah, Cronese Lakes, Dale Lake, Twentynine Palms, and near Harper Dry Lake.

**Threats:** Urban and rural development has fragmented populations along the Mojave River and at Twentynine Palms. Agricultural development has eliminated and fragmented populations in the Mojave Valley. These threats will continue in the foreseeable future. Other major threats are flood control structures which prevent the waterborne flow of sand towards the occupied habitat, windbreaks that impede the aeolian transport of sand to the occupied habitat and vehicle use within the occupied habitat.

#### **3.3.4.13 San Diego Horned Lizard (California Species of Special Concern)**

This lizard prefers areas with loose, fine soils, an abundance of open areas for basking, and plenty of native ants and other insects. Within the planning area, the San Diego horned lizard is restricted to juniper woodland, Mojave mixed woody scrub and chaparral habitats above 3,000 feet elevation. The San Diego horned lizard is found in the Antelope Valley California Poppy State Reserve, east along the base of the San Gabriel and San Bernardino Mountains to

Joshua Tree National Park. This lizard is believed to be extirpated from the Mojave River near Oro Grande and from many areas near Palmdale.

**Threats:** Urban and rural development on the north slope of the San Gabriel Mountains is the primary threat to the long-term viability of the desert populations. Increased predation by cats and dogs are a threat. Collection by collectors and children has contributed to the decline in numbers of this species, and this threat may continue today. Off-road vehicles pose a potential threat, particularly at the Mojave Forks dam.

#### **3.3.4.14 Panamint Alligator Lizard (BLM Sensitive, California Species of Special Concern)**

The Panamint alligator lizard is found most often in canyons with riparian habitat and nearby permanent springs. They forage in thick brush and along talus slopes where they may be observed basking on rocks in open areas, near thick vegetation. The Panamint alligator lizard is endemic to California, where it is known only from 16 disjunct localities in the Panamint Mountains (Brewery and Limekiln Springs, Surprise Canyon, Pleasant Canyon), Nelson Mountains (Grapevine Canyon), Inyo Mountains (Daisy Canyon, Lime Hill), and White Mountains (Batchelder Spring, Marble Canyon, Tollhouse Spring, Westgard Pass) of Inyo County, California. Sight records (12) have been reported for the eastern Argus, Cosos, Panamint, Inyo, and White Mountains of Inyo and southeastern Mono counties, California. Surveys conducted in 2002 at the China Lake NAWS have located the Panamint alligator lizard in the Argus Mountains at Mountain Springs Canyon.

**Threats:** A potential decline in Panamint alligator lizard populations may be attributed to the direct loss of riparian habitat. Although there are no baseline data that suggests a current decline in population numbers, habitat loss or alteration due to expanded mining operations, off-highway vehicle activity, grazing (domestic and feral), and introduction of non-native invasive plant species (e.g., tamarisk) could have serious adverse effects in riparian areas where this species occurs.

#### **3.3.4.15 Barstow Woolly Sunflower (BLM Sensitive)**

This species is a western Mojave Desert endemic. It is found on bare areas with little soil, often containing a shallow subsurface caliche layer. Most known locations are between Kramer Junction and Harper Dry Lake. The range of Barstow woolly sunflower, however, extends west of Kramer Junction and includes Edwards Air Force Base. It is also located east of Harper Dry Lake on the Coolgardie Mesa. The BLM has established a 320-acre ACEC for protection of this species east of Kramer Junction. CDFG mitigation lands northeast of Kramer Junction are believed to support this species.

**Threats:** Populations are subject to removal within the utility corridor between Kramer Junction and Harper Dry Lake. Military operations at Edwards AFB could be a threat in the future. Current management at EAFB is compatible with protection of this species. Off-road vehicle travel is a threat.

#### **3.3.4.16 Carbonate Endemic Plants (Cushenbury Buckwheat, Cushenbury Milkvetch, Cushenbury Oxytheca = Federal Endangered, Parish's Daisy = Federal Threatened)**

These species are restricted to limestone and dolomite substrates in the San Bernardino Mountains at the southern edge of western Mojave Desert. The majority of the range of these species is on the adjoining San Bernardino National Forest. These plants are habitat (substrate) dependent and conservation of habitat generally protects all species in the plant community. The distribution of Parish's daisy extends east to the Town of Yucca Valley.

**Threats:** The primary threat is mining, which has fragmented some existing populations and eliminated others. Vehicle travel on occupied habitat is a minor potential threat and travel off roads could adversely modify designated critical habitat.

#### **3.3.4.17 Charlotte's Phacelia (BLM Sensitive)**

Parish's phacelia is a striking blue and white annual wildflower, about seven inches tall, blooming from April to June. It is generally associated with naturally disturbed or unstable habitats such as loose sand, talus, and washes, and is most often found on open, arid slopes ranging in elevation from 2,500 to 7,200 feet. Population numbers fluctuate considerably from year to year, probably depending on rainfall. This species occurs in the high Sierra Nevada, its desert-facing foothills, and the adjacent El Paso Mountains, mostly from the foothills above Fremont Valley, north through Red Rock Canyon State Park, to east-facing canyons above Indian Wells Valley. The range is almost entirely within the western Mojave Desert. Most documented populations are near roads or trails in the lower canyons and washes, or are in high-interest natural areas (e.g., Red Rock Canyon State Park). Several locations are associated with the Los Angeles Aqueduct and its various access roads. In view of the documented locations at the Sierra Nevada crest and on its lower slopes, it is likely that additional undocumented populations occur on the inaccessible mountain slopes above the foothills, washes, and lower canyons. Additional populations also are likely to occur within the China Lake Naval Air Weapons Center.

**Threats:** Most of the known populations are within grazing allotments. Grazing is mentioned repeatedly in CNDDDB records, but there appears to be no documentation of population declines in response to grazing. Other potential threats are off-road vehicles and wildflower collecting.

#### **3.3.4.18 Crucifixion Thorn (No Special Status)**

Crucifixion thorn is long-lived, thorny leafless shrub or small tree of washes and other sites where water accumulates. It is particularly characteristic of non-saline dry lakes. It is mostly restricted to outwash plains and reported not to occur on rocky slopes. Plants occur as scattered colonies of fairly small size that never extend far across the landscape. Fruits remain on the plant for long periods, up to several years, and may be distributed by vertebrate herbivores. The plants are dioecious, that is, male and female flowers occur on separate plants. In the West Mojave this species is disjunct from its primary range and is found in the sand fields

and washes north and east of Pisgah Crater and southeast of Fort Irwin, where it forms a distinct community, termed crucifixion thorn woodland. . Another site is located near Amboy, just outside the planning area. A single plant was located near Newberry Springs during the 1999 tortoise surveys.

**Threats:** Off-road vehicle use of the occupied habitat is a threat. Firewood collection by campers may be a minor threat.

#### **3.3.4.19 Desert Cymopterus (BLM Sensitive)**

Desert cymopterus is a long-lived herbaceous perennial, which has conspicuous purple flowers during early spring, but dies back completely aboveground in the summer, fall and early winter. It survives drought by storage of food in its large taproot, and is termed a geophyte. Flowering and seed production appear to be episodic, with large numbers of viable seed produced in wet years and little or no flowering and seed production in dry years. Desert cymopterus is generally found on sandy soil. This species is a western Mojave Desert endemic, found from California City east to the Superior Valley and from the Cuddeback Lake area south to near Kramer Junction. Early collections of this plant from Lucerne Valley, Victorville and Apple Valley are from areas now developed, and the most recent records date from 1941.

Desert cymopterus is found in low densities and is widely dispersed. The vast majority of known recent occurrences (>90%) are from Edwards AFB. Several studies of utility corridors have verified presence northeast of Kramer Junction on BLM and private lands, and additional locations were detected in 2000 and 2001 near Hinkley and in the Superior Valley, the latter on lands transferred to the Army for the Fort Irwin expansion. Desert cymopterus remains one of the rarest and least known of the West Mojave sensitive plant species. The pattern of distribution of desert cymopterus suggests that it favors lands on the east side of desert playas where blowsand has accumulated.

**Threats:** Threats to the desert cymopterus are not obvious. Urbanization in the Victor Valley and utility development east of Kramer Junction have eliminated some plants or reduced available habitat. Off-road vehicle travel has been cited as a threat, but documentation of loss of plants is missing. Cattle and sheep formerly grazed in occupied habitat, but livestock grazing is mostly restricted at known populations. Herbivory to the leaves by native insects, rodents, and perhaps tortoises is apparent, but the extent of damage to population size is not documented.

#### **3.3.4.20 Kelso Creek Monkeyflower (Federal Candidate)**

**The Kelso Creek monkeyflower occurs on** loamy, coarse sands on alluvial fans and deposits of granitic origin within the Joshua tree and juniper woodlands of the Kelso Valley in Kern County. Seven of eight known occurrences are within a 12 square mile area in the Kelso Valley, with the remaining occurrence outside the planning area nine miles to the northwest. Approximately 990 acres of public land and 1,000 acres of private land are occupied habitat. An additional 1,600 acres of potential habitat on public land has been identified.



**Threats:** Identified threats include firewood harvesting, trampling by cattle, and off-highway vehicle activity. Mobile home and subdivision developments, including road access, threaten populations on private land. Fire-fighting operations have damaged one population.

#### **3.3.4.21 Kern Buckwheat (BLM Sensitive)**

Kern buckwheat is found on ridge tops in poorly draining depressions in white bentonite clay soils thought to be from volcanic ash. These depressions have pebbles, gravel and rock cemented into the soil surface. All of the known populations are within the planning area. There are two to four populations on public land and one or two on private land. All are located in the southern Sierra Nevada Mountains in Kern County either west of Middle Knob and south of Pine Tree Canyon, or on Sweet Ridge. There are four populations east of Sand Canyon.

**Threats:** Maintenance of wind energy facilities poses a threat to this species. Other potential threats are off highway vehicle use, future construction and grazing.

#### **3.3.4.22 Lane Mountain Milkvetch (Federal Endangered)**

Lane Mountain milkvetch is an herbaceous perennial that grows up within a host plant, which it uses for support. Plants occur on granitic substrates with shallow soils. The Lane Mountain milkvetch is a very local endemic species found primarily on public and military land. Its entire known range is within the western Mojave Desert between Goldstone and Barstow, San Bernardino County, in an area no more than 13 miles in diameter. Extensive surveys in 2001 were conducted by the Army to better define the numbers and range of this species. Four primary population areas have been recorded. These are found on public (BLM) lands, on Fort Irwin National Training Center, at the Goldstone Deep Space Communications Complex, and on private lands on the Coolgardie Mesa. The Fort Irwin population is fenced, and most training activities take place outside the fence.

**Threats:** Few threats now exist for Lane Mountain milkvetch. Its low numbers make it susceptible to extinction from stochastic (unanticipated random) events. Expansion of training corridors at Fort Irwin could threaten this species. Increased activity within Fort Irwin or Goldstone Deep Space Communications Complex could threaten undiscovered populations of this species. Club mining activities on Coolgardie Mesa are a potential threat. Off-road travel within occupied habitat is a potential threat.

#### **3.3.4.23 Little San Bernardino Mountains Gilia (BLM Sensitive)**

This plant is found in dry canyons and along desert washes on alluvial fans. It requires sandy, well-aerated soil on flat ground with few or no competing species. Dense stands of weedy annuals are never present at occupied sites, which are all at the margins of streambeds. The plant is restricted to the Little San Bernardino Mountains and the northeast portion of the San Bernardino Mountains. Of twelve major areas of occurrence, ten are within the western Mojave Desert. These are scattered into a number of discrete population segments, generally defined by drainage basins and washes.

**Threats:** Rural and suburban development occurs near Yucca Valley and the community of Joshua Tree. A secondary threat is OHV recreation in washes. Future channelization or flood control projects could threaten the occupied drainages. Two of the ten West Mojave occurrences are within Joshua Tree National Park, one is on BLM lands, and seven are on private land. In the Coachella Valley, one (the smaller) occurrence is on BLM land and one is on private land.

#### **3.3.4.24 Mojave Monkeyflower (BLM Sensitive)**

Mojave monkeyflower is found in Joshua tree woodland and creosote bush scrub communities. It favors granitic soils, and is most often found on gravelly banks of desert washes. Occasionally it is found in sandy openings between creosote bushes and on rocky slopes above washes, areas that are not subject to regular water flows. The Mojave monkeyflower is a restricted endemic whose entire range is within the western Mojave Desert. All occurrences are east of the Mojave River, and most are south of Barstow. Major populations are found between Victorville and Barstow west of Interstate 15, and in the Ord-Rodman-Newberry Mountains area. Populations in the Waterman Hills north of Barstow are not threatened.

**Threats:** Populations between the Mojave River and Interstate 15 are situated in a patchwork of private and public lands. Quarries and rural development on private land have fragmented some populations, a trend which may continue. Exchange of BLM lands for the Air Force Land Tenure Adjustment program could lead to loss of occurrences on public lands in the Brisbane Valley. Populations south of Barstow and Dagget are threatened by off-road vehicle activity. Several populations are in or adjacent to the Stoddard Valley OHV open area. Some populations are bisected by Stoddard Valley Road and Camp Rock Road, and adjacent OHV trails have eliminated some plants. Other threats include livestock grazing, development of utility corridors, and inbreeding, genetic bottlenecks, and lack of sufficient pollinators.

#### **3.3.4.25 Mojave Tarplant (BLM Sensitive, California Endangered)**

Mojave tarplant is found in Joshua tree woodland, creosote bush scrub, and mixed desert scrub communities at scattered locations throughout the planning area. Within the planning area, the Mojave tarplant occurs in fairly large numbers at the base of the southern Sierra Nevada Mountains. An historical locality at Mojave Forks apparently no longer supports this species. Outside the planning area, this species occurs in the Peninsular Ranges of Riverside and San Diego counties.

**Threats:** Few threats are known to Mojave tarplant. At the historical Mojave Forks locality, extensive off-road vehicle activity has degraded the habitat.

#### **3.3.4.26 Parish's Phacelia (No Special Status)**

Parish's phacelia is found on alkaline flats, that is, playas and dry lakebeds. It is most common on the silty and clayey soils of the lowest portion of the dry lakebeds south of Fort Irwin. This species has a large population in California, disjunct from its primary range in Nevada. It occurs on the series of unnamed dry lakes (playas) south of Fort Irwin between the Manix tank trail and Coyote Dry Lake.

**Threats:** No threats have been identified, but vehicle activity, including military vehicles, could be a major impact to the population. Surface disturbance on the private lands could eliminate the plants in those locations. The likelihood of development of these lands is very low.

#### **3.3.4.27 Red Rock Poppy (BLM Sensitive)**

This species occurs at elevations between 2300 and 3280 ft. It appears to be found in a rather common rock type of rhyolite tuffs, granitics and similar rocks. All known occurrences of Red Rock poppy, including a probable occurrence on Edwards Air Force Base, are within the western Mojave Desert. A possible location in the Black Mountains is outside the eastern boundary of the planning area. The taxon is definitely known from only four locations: Red Rock Canyon State Park; Mesquite Canyon; 2 miles southeast of Searles Station; and on an “unnamed road” north of Red Rock-Randsburg Road.

**Threats:** The CNPS inventory (Skinner and Pavlik, 1994) states that vehicles threaten the Red Rock poppy, but the extent of this threat is unknown. There may be other threats in various areas, but so little is known about this plant that it is impossible at this time to outline the nature of any additional threats.

#### **3.3.4.28 Red Rock Tarplant (California Rare)**

The Red Rock tarplant is found in seeps, springs and seasonally moist alluvium in an extremely hot and arid part of the Mojave Desert in the rain shadow of the southern Sierra Nevada Mountains. Specifically, it is found in: 1) sandy to gravelly washes, 2) moist alkaline margins of seeps and springs, 3) sandy alluvium at the foot of ridges and cliffs, and 4) ledges of dry colluvium supported by ribs of bedrock on cliffs. The Red Rock tarplant is a very local endemic of the western El Paso Mountains. Once thought to only occur in Red Rock Canyon, it is now known to occur in adjacent Last Chance Canyon as well. Within Red Rock Canyon it occurs along the bottom of the canyon for about 4-5 miles.

**Threats:** Repeated disturbance is the biggest threat to this species. OHV activity posed the greatest threat in the past, but it is now restricted within the Red Rock Canyon State Park.

#### **3.3.4.29 Shockley’s Rock-cress (No Special Status)**

Shockley’s rock cress is a perennial herb found on limestone and quartzite outcrops and gravelly substrates at 3,000 - 6,000 feet elevation. It occurs primarily in the San Bernardino National Forest on the north slope of the San Bernardino Mountains, although it ranges to Inyo County, Nevada, and Utah. Nine occurrences have been reported by the NDDDB within the planning area, 3 on public lands and 6 on private lands. In 1998, this plant was found within 51 plots randomly placed across the carbonate plants habitat, mainly within the San Bernardino National Forest. One isolated historical record is from Highway 247 north of its junction with Highway 18 in Lucerne Valley.

**Threats:** Populations have been reduced by large-scale mining operations and this threat continues. The majority of public lands where this plant occurs have mining claims. Off road travel within occupied habitat is a minor potential threat.

#### **3.3.4.30 Short-joint Beavertail Cactus (BLM Sensitive)**

Short-joint beavertail cactus is mostly associated with Joshua tree, pinyon pine, and juniper woodlands, although it also occurs in chaparral and Mojave Desert scrub communities. It has been reported from a wide variety of well-drained soils, from sandy to rocky, in open streambeds and on rocky slopes. Short-joint beavertail cactus is found along the north slopes of the San Gabriel Mountains from the Anaverde Valley west of Palmdale east to the Cajon Pass. It occurs between elevations of 3000 – 6500 feet, and is found within the Angeles National Forest south of the West Mojave boundary. At the eastern edge of its range, between Cajon Pass and the Mojave River Forks Dam in the San Bernardino Mountains, the populations show intergradation with *Opuntia basilaris* var. *basilaris*.

**Threats:** Nearly all of the occurrences of short-joint beavertail in the western Mojave Desert are on private land, and the primary threat is rural development in the Pinon Hills, Oak Hills, and Phelan areas in San Bernardino County, and suburban development in and near Palmdale. Large-scale developments at Las Flores Ranch and Summit Valley may threaten this species or the intergrade populations. Off-road vehicle activity in the hills south and east of Phelan has damaged some habitat, and may eliminate plants.

#### **3.3.4.30 Triple-ribbed milkvetch (Federal Endangered)**

This species is only found in California and it is primarily known from the vicinity of Whitewater Canyon (the type locality) and from Dry Morongo Canyon along Highway 62, as well as from scattered occurrences farther east in the Little San Bernardino Mountains, including an anomalous, relatively high elevation site at Key's Ranch in Joshua Tree National Park. It is restricted to sandy or gravelly soils in arid canyons. No well-established permanent population of any size has ever been found.

Most of the populations occur just outside of the planning area; but there are three locations within the western Mojave Desert: Key's Ranch in Joshua Tree National Park, Big Morongo Canyon, and in Dry Morongo Canyon just north of the San Bernardino County line. Additional habitat along the southern part of the planning area that is not well explored may have additional populations, especially in the upper reaches of Mission, Dry Morongo and Big Morongo Creeks, as well as in the western lobe of Joshua Tree National Park.

**Threats:** Threats are not well known because this species is not well studied and it is often difficult to find in remote and rugged areas. Vehicle travel in desert canyons and washes of the Little San Bernardino Mountains is a potential threat.

#### **3.3.4.24 White-Margined Beardtongue (BLM Sensitive)**

This species is disjunct from its primary range and is found in the sand fields and washes north of Pisgah Crater. This plant is found in the Pisgah Crater area. Twenty-two occurrences have been recorded. Three of these are on private lands. The BLM/Wildlands Conservancy purchase of lands from Catellus Development Corporation in January 2000 put three occurrences into public ownership. Populations at Twentynine Palms MCAGCC have been disturbed by military activities in the past. A new population was recorded from the base in 1998.

**Threats:** Vehicle use of the occupied habitat is a threat. Maintenance of utility access roads and facilities has been a threat in the past. Military operations at Twentynine Palms MCAGCC have potential to damage the small population(s) on the base.

### **3.4 LIVESTOCK GRAZING**

There are a total of 31 public land grazing allotments (a designated area suitable for grazing) within the West Mojave planning area. The type of livestock and type of forage allocation for allotments have been designated in the BLM's CDCA Plan. Allotments are designated as ephemeral, perennial, or ephemeral/perennial based on the type of forage that is available on the allotment. Cattle, sheep, and, horses, or a combination of these may be authorized to graze on an allotment.

The allotments are classified as either Taylor Grazing Act Section 3 grazing permits or Section 15 grazing leases. Allotments with perennial forage have an established limit of forage based on the quality and quantity of perennial plants, stated in animal unit months (AUMs) for a defined period of grazing use. An AUM is a measure of perennial or ephemeral feed that will support a cow and its calf, a ewe and its lambs, or a bull for one month. Perennial forage consumption is typically authorized at the same level from year to year unless forage production does not meet seasonal norms. In contrast, grazing use in allotments with ephemeral forage does not have an established level or specified period of use. Instead the amount and length of grazing use is based on ephemeral production and determined just prior to authorizing the grazing use.

### **3.5 RECREATION**

Located only 90 minutes from downtown Los Angeles, the West Mojave is the recreational backyard of the metropolitan area's 17 million residents, of whom nearly 2 million participate in OHV activities and even greater number camp, hike or drive for pleasure. The Mojave Desert provides an easily accessible, uncrowded recreation experience. The many recreation opportunities of the West Mojave arise from the variety of its mountains, bajadas, dry lakes and badlands, the diversity and affluence of its visitors and the sheer volume of space that its landscape provides.

### 3.5.1 Patterns of Use

Although most recreational activities are widely dispersed, certain activities have “hot spots” that have been established over time. How or why they were established varies from case to case, but may be due to the *features* (topography, geology) of the area, *proximity* to urban areas, the availability of *access* into the area, and *publicity*. Understanding recreation patterns and hot spots is critical to the design of an effective motorized vehicle access network.

Particular *features* or land-characteristics may make a given area highly desirable for a certain type (or types) of recreational activity. For instance, flat, expansive terrain is often desirable for recreational activities such as target shooting, plinking, driving for pleasure, and more quick-paced race events. On the other hand, mountainous terrain is often more conducive to such activities as rock (rope) climbing, rock hounding or technical four wheel rock crawling.

The relative *proximity* of the Mojave Desert to urban centers makes it easy and convenient for recreationists to visit those “hot spots” and other areas having the features that they desire. About 85% of all visitors to the Mojave Desert are from the urban areas of Southern California. The BLM public lands are closer to the Los Angeles basin than most other similar recreation areas, such as Death Valley National Park, and offer a far wider variety of recreational experiences.

Motorized vehicle *access*, or at least the degree of access, into areas affects the desirability of that area depending upon the nature of the recreational activity. Access is itself a feature or characteristic that may or may not be sought. For example, a recreationist hoping to photograph or film particular wildlife undisturbed in its natural habitat would not want access so convenient that it attracts a large number of other visitors. Recreationists seeking to hike and camp in remote, difficult to reach areas to experience solitude would not find a location that has ready access from a major highway to be desirable. Conversely, a recreationist seeking to ride his dune buggy over sand dunes with groups of other people would appreciate easy access.

*Publicity* about an area’s recreational opportunity often attracts users. Although some of this publicity can come through the mainstream news media (newspapers, television news reports), much of it comes by “word of mouth.” A recreation club (motorcycle riding club, four wheel drive club, dune buggy club, hiking and camping club, equestrian endurance riding club, rock hounding club, rock climbing club, photography club, or wildlife viewing club) may send out newsletters to its members identifying areas that have those features that are considered ideal for the type of recreational activity that the club engages in. This promotes discussion among club members about those areas, and encourages them to recreate there. Recreation clubs are often drawn to hot spots where people participating in that particular type of recreation can gather and socialize.

Publicity is not limited to recreational clubs. Individuals share their experiences with each other through “word of mouth.” A camper may learn of an excellent campsite that possesses desirable features or characteristics. Through one-on-one conversations between different campers, such an area can become a “hot spot.”

Guidebooks and maps publicize favorite recreation sites. Guidebooks are available that describe areas in the Mojave Desert that offer significant rockhounding and gem collecting opportunities. These guidebooks typically describe the areas of interest in sufficient detail to lead recreationists to the most promising regions for the activity. Maps published by the American Automobile Association are particularly popular, for they indicate areas where different types of recreational activities occur. Because they are widely distributed, areas highlighted on these maps can receive a great deal of notoriety.

Recreationists engage in activities that make use of more than one type of feature or terrain, and often desire to travel to locations where multiple types of terrain are readily available or that are relatively close to other areas having different terrain. In dual sport motorcycle touring, for instance, recreationists use motorcycles that are licensed for use on regular streets and highways but are capable of off-road travel. Recreationists engaged in such touring can ride to the desert on major highways, and then go off-road once a desired trail or special recreation opportunity has been reached. Since a motorcycle is being used, the recreationist can fit through tight spaces that a larger vehicle, even one with four-wheel drive, is unable to access.

The four-wheel drive vehicles have their attractions as well. A single four wheel drive SUV can accommodate more people and items than can a dual sport motorcycle, and can switch from regular highway travel to off-road touring without missing a beat. A trend among some recreationists is to alternate between areas having very different features since the use of their vehicles grants them such access opportunities. This affords the recreationist a much broader range of activities at any given time.

Table 3-7 presents a summary of recreation uses throughout the West Mojave. It describes the primary destinations and recreational activities that occur at particular geographic locations within the planning area. Detailed tables presenting visitor use levels at popular sites throughout the West Mojave are presented in Appendix T.

**Table 3-7**  
**Summary of Recreational Activities in the West Mojave Planning Area**

AREA	LOCATION	PRIMARY DESTINATIONS AND RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES
North El Paso	West of Ridgecrest & north of the EL Paso subregion.	This area is dispersed BLM ownership with an approximate size of 60 square miles. The area provides access from Ridgecrest to the El Paso Wilderness and the El Paso subregion.
Central Searles	Between North and South Searles and surrounding the town of Trona.	Central Searles is lightly dispersed BLM ownership comprising about 60 square miles and offering mining opportunities and access to North and South Searles subregions.
East Fremont	West of US 395, north of Edwards Air Force Base and east of California City.	East Fremont BLM area is greatly dispersed BLM ownership with an approximate size of 200 square miles. The area offers desert exploring, rock hounding and mining opportunity in close proximity to California City.
West El Mirage	West of El Mirage subregion and west of El Mirage OHV Recreation Area.	West El Mirage is greatly dispersed BLM ownership with an approximate size of 100 square miles. The area offers access to OHV touring and El Mirage Dry Lake.
South Kramer	Between Kramer subregion and Highway 15, just north of Victorville.	Moderately dispersed BLM ownership with an approximate size of 120 square miles. The area offers OHV touring, exploring, mining opportunity and access to Stoddard Valley OHV Area.

South New- berry/ Rodman	South of the Rodman Mountains Wilderness and on the north edge of the Johnson Valley OHV Area.	This is a consolidated BLM ownership of approximately 8 square miles. The east boundary fronts a transmission line corridor offering OHV touring. Also the area offers access to the Rodman Mountains Wilderness and the Johnson Valley OHV Area.
Johnson Valley South	South of Johnson Valley OHV Area and North of Bighorn subregion.	Johnson Valley South is moderately consolidated BLM ownership of approximately 50 square miles in size, mixed with State Lands and private lands. The area offers access to Johnson Valley OHV Area and the BLM Bighorn subregion and Soggy Lake & Creosote Rings Special Management Areas.
Copper Mountain	North of Joshua Tree National Park and northwest of the City of Twentynine Palms.	The Copper Mountain area is a greatly dispersed BLM ownership of about 100 square miles. The Twentynine Palms Marine Base bounds the area on the north, and Joshua Tree National Monument forms the south border. The area offers OHV touring and dual sport activity.
West Cleghorn	West of the Cleghorn Lakes Wilderness and bounded by Twentynine Palms Marine Base to the north and west.	The West Cleghorn area is a 30 square mile area of consolidated BLM ownership offering access to the Cleghorn Lakes Wilderness.
North Pinto	North of the BLM Pinto subregion and south of the Cleghorn Lakes Wilderness.	The North Pinto is a moderately consolidated BLM area approximately 60 square miles in size, offering OHV touring, mining and rock hounding.
South Coyote	About 30 miles east of Barstow, south of Afton Canyon Natural Area and north of the Sleeping Beauty subregion.	The South Coyote BLM area is a checkerboard ownership and is comprised of about 250 square miles. The Cady Mountains are located in the center of the area. The area serves as access to the Kelso Dunes Wilderness and Afton Canyon Natural Area and Sleeping Beauty subregion.
East Avawatz	About 12 miles north of the City of Baker on Highway 15. Wilderness Study Areas, which surround this area are the Soda Mountains to the south and Avawatz to the west.	The East Avawatz BLM area is about 100 square miles in size and is largely consolidated ownership offering access to Wilderness Study Areas. OHV touring and mining opportunities are available in the area.

BLM's CDCA Plan has designated several areas within the West Mojave as "Open Areas." Within open areas, unlike limited vehicle access areas, there is no "route designation." Motorized vehicles may travel anywhere, so long as the vehicle is operated responsibly in accordance with regulations, and subject to the permission of private landowners.

The OHV Open Areas receive high levels of dispersed OHV riding. Many repetitive OHV routes have been created in these areas that riders generally follow. In areas where the use is particularly concentrated, the density of routes can be very high. Table 3-8 briefly describes each open area, visitor use levels and the principal recreation activities that occur there.

### 3.5.2 Off-Highway Vehicle Use

Users of off-highway vehicles engage in many different types of recreation in the Mojave Desert. These can be categorized into two general groups: (1) Where the driving of the vehicle is itself the recreational activity, and (2) Where the vehicle is a means of access to other forms of recreation.



**Table 3-8**  
**Characteristics of BLM Open Areas**

OPEN AREA	SIZE ACRES	VISITS	VISITOR DAYS	PRINCIPAL RECREATION ACTIVITIES	OHV USE PATTERNS
Dove Springs	3,840	82,000	N/A	Motorcycle hill climbing, ATV/Quads, rails; camping, shooting and hunting.	The entire Dove Springs open area is used for camping and OHV driving. OHV driving centers on riding up and down the hillsides using all types of OHVs.
El Mirage	25,600	253,374	391,075	Unrestricted OHV recreation. Approximately 50% of the activity is not classival OHV activity (i.e. motorcycles, quads, jeeps). The dry lakebed attracts visitors with experimental vehicles, aircraft, land wind-sailors, etc. The predominant OHV activity is motorcycle use.	Most of the visitor use is concentrated on and around the dry lakebed. Significant motorcycle use takes place away from the lakebed towards the mountains to the northwest. Visitors generally stay on long-established pre-existing routes. Permitted events, sightseeing, camping, and dispersed camping occurs in the area.
Jawbone Canyon		60,000	N/A	Predominantly dirtbike motorcycle use engaging in hill climbing activities, as well as dual sport motorcycle and 4WD touring/sightseeing	Camping areas are oncentrated along three miles of the Jawbone Canyon Road. OHV users enjoy the challenge of riding up and down hillsides throughout the canyon. The steepness of the hillsides that the riders use varies from moderate to extremely steep.
Johnson Valley	188,160	XXX	XXX	Unrestricted OHV recreation. Predominantly dirt bike motorcycle use, as well as dual sport motorcycle and 4WD touring/sightseeing. Permitted events, camping, and dispersed camping occur in the area.	Primarily “Green Sticker” motorcycle use participating in “trail riding”. Approximately 50% of the Open area’s total use occurs in this area. Approximately 50% of that use takes place in the form of permitted “organized” events (e.g. races).
Rasor	22,400	23,702	36,357	Unrestricted OHV recreation. Predominantly dirt bike motorcycle use, as well as dual sport motorcycle and 4WD touring/sightseeing. Camping, dispersed camping, and sightseeing occur in the area.	Dispersed OHV use
Spangler Hills	62,080	XXX	XXX	Predominantly dirtbike motorcycle use, as well as dual sport motorcycle and 4WD touring/sightseeing on gentle rolling desert terrain. Organized competitive events.	The area provides many OHV routes through open, gentle desert terrain.. There are some more challenging routes through hills along the sides of the open area. Three popular

					camping areas are Teagle Wash, Wagon Wheel and east of US-395.
Stoddard Valley	54,400	XXX	XXX	Unrestricted OHV recreation. Predominantly dirt bike motorcycle use, as well as dual sport motorcycle and 4WD touring/sightseeing. Permitted events, camping, and dispersed camping occur in the area.	OHV use is widely dispersed. Approximately 50% of the use is estimated to be associated with permitted events (e.g. MC, rails, jeeps). Heaviest use at staging areas. Visitors tend to stay on pre-existing routes as the terrain becomes rougher and as they travel away from the staging areas.

### 3.5.2.1 Driving OHVs for Recreation

There are various types of OHV recreation. These include general vehicular touring, motorcycle recreation, and ATV and four-wheel-drive use.

**General Vehicular Touring:** Many people engage in recreational touring. Such touring allows visitors to see vast areas of the desert while spending less time on the land itself. OHV touring may occur on both flat and mountainous terrain using jeeps and similar vehicles.

OHV touring vehicles such as the popular SUV have four-wheel-drive capabilities to handle off-road work and are designed to be comfortable for normal street usage. They do not have to be towed by another vehicle to particular staging areas; rather, they can be driven on the highway and, when opportunity presents itself, they can follow a dirt trail. Vehicles that allow for multi-terrain travel have a broader range of access opportunities since they can traverse different types of terrain features.

In the mid-1980s, off-road enthusiasts, and state and local government agencies collaborated to provide a system of interconnected roads and "jeep" trails. Today, over 600 miles of trails have been designated by the State of California as "Back Country Discovery Trails". A goal of this trail system is to provide a backcountry opportunity for non-traditional trail users such as persons with disabilities, senior citizens and families with small children.

The California Backcountry Discovery Trail system is one of shared-use. Equestrians, hikers, and cyclists are welcome, although the trail system is designed for off-road enthusiasts. The existing roads that make up the "principle route" network were selected with a stock, sport utility vehicle in mind. The CBDT network provides recreationists with an abundance of OHV touring opportunities. "Alternate trails" departing and later rejoining the principle route provide more challenging experiences, and are open to greensticker vehicles.

**Motorcycle Recreation:** Many desert recreationists engage in motorcycling and motorcycle events. In most (but not all) cases, the motorcycles, equipment and supplies have to be transported to the desired locations by street-legal vehicles, such as SUVs.

There are many popular motorcycle events, including enduros, hare n' hound, hare scramble, European scramble, and the grand prix. These events allow participants to ride in varying types of terrain, which present different challenges and require varying degrees of skill.

One popular activity is dual sport motorcycling. Dual sport motorcycles are designed to perform off-road, and they are also "street legal" for operation on regular roads. Therefore, the use of a street-legal vehicle to transport the bike is not necessary. A person using this type of motorcycle can enjoy riding on the highway, and then go off-road when the desired trail is reached. The dual sport motorcycle gives the rider a broader and more flexible recreational experience<sup>5</sup>.

Every year there are a few commercial tours and dual sport rides on public land. These activities generally use well-defined public land vehicle routes. These tours typically involve motorcycle and 4-WD sightseeing and exploration.

Each year there are a few commercial tours and dual sport rides on public land. These activities generally use well-defined public land vehicle routes. These tours typically involve motorcycle and 4-WD sightseeing and exploration tours. There are generally two types of commercial tour events: guided and unguided (self-guided):

?? *Guided Tours:* A typical guided tour operator might lead two to three tours each year, with participants following a trail leader. The group stops together several times during the day to see and learn about various natural and man made features. The trip leader is generally an expert on the particular area, and is able to relay information pertaining to natural and historic resources to participants.

?? *Unguided Tours (Dual Sport Events):* Dual Sport Events, those events designed for street legal motorcycles capable of off highway travel, are the best example of unguided tours. In these events, participants are given a map and "Role Chart" that depict the tour route turn by turn. There is no element of competition so participants may arrive at the final destination at their convenience. Often "bail out" opportunities are identified so that participants can safely leave the off highway portion of the route to return to paved roads and the final destination on their own.

**All Terrain and "Technical" Four Wheel Drive Recreation:** ATVs are small motor vehicles with wheels or tractor treads for traveling over rough ground. They usually have four-wheel-drive capability. ATVs are often viewed as being more agile than other four-wheel drive vehicles and can access relatively narrower roads since they are relatively small and handle like motorcycles. ATVs, however, generally only accommodate one person. ATVs are generally not appropriate for dual sport activities, as they do not operate well for longer distances on regular highways and roads.

---

<sup>5</sup> The use of SUVs to transport dual sport bikes to motorcycle parks, other staging areas, or trailheads may still be the desired method of access. This is due largely to the distance that such recreationists travel, and the bulk of equipment and supplies that are often needed. An SUV allows for safe storage of equipment at the staging area.

Typical four-wheel-drive vehicles (SUVs and jeeps) have fairly similar capabilities, including the capability to travel off-road on rocky terrain. They are significantly larger than ATVs, as they can accommodate several passengers, supplies and equipment. Four-wheel-drive vehicles such as SUVs and jeeps often have “dual sport” capabilities and perform efficiently both on regular streets, roads, and highways, as well as off-road. SUVs are generally used to traverse relatively flat, yet rough, terrain, while jeeps are often used to cover more mountainous ground.

Technical four-wheel-drive vehicles are used to traverse very rocky areas and hills. Movement is relatively slow over and around rocks, in contrast to SUV and even jeep touring. Cables may be used to pull the technical four-wheel-drive vehicle over the more difficult rock formations. The challenge in regards to technical four-wheel-drive use is to test one’s knowledge in how to move over the rocks, rather than to tour over more ground and observe different areas that the given trail runs through.

**Competitive Events:** The BLM Ridgecrest Field Office permits about 30 competitive events annually. These include about 20 OHV events and 10 dual sport, equestrian, mountain biking and running events. There are 50 miles of “C” (i.e. competition) routes established adjacent to the Spangler OHV Area. The use of these routes for competitive events was discontinued in 2001.

The BLM Barstow Field Office permits about 60 competitive events annually. These include about 50 OHV events and 10 dual sport, in addition to other events. Most of these events occur in the Stoddard and Johnson Valley Open Areas. The best known among these events are the Barstow to Vegas motorcycle race (not run in over a decade due to environmental issues) and Johnson Valley to Parker motorcycle race.

**Compliance With Regulations:** Compliance has generally become better with implementation of the CDCA plan. With the exception of a few areas, OHV free play has gradually moved to the OHV open areas. Compliance is worst in areas of historical OHV use and where adjacent to local communities. Compliance appears to be best achieved when a pro-active approach to vehicle management is used, including the identification of outstanding recreation opportunities to direct recreationists to, such as through quality signing and mapping to help visitors locate appropriate opportunities, as well as through enforcement and additional education efforts.

The best program for achieving compliance in designated route areas involves:

- ?? Keeping open routes well signed.
- ?? Revegetating and otherwise rehabilitating closed routes so that they are not apparent or easy to use.
- ?? Maintain a field presence of BLM personnel to contact, inform visitors, and enforce the law.
- ?? Establishing BLM-trained and supervised volunteer groups who can assist in keeping the routes signed and who can contact visitors in order to explain applicable use policies. The volunteers will follow a BLM operations plan designed to protect the natural environment and thereby help to maintain future recreational opportunities.

Once vehicle routes have been designed, they need to be maintained as a way of keeping users on those open routes. If such routes become too difficult to travel, users will become more likely to utilize closed routes.

### 3.5.2.2 Driving OHVs to Access Other Recreation

Many visitors use the vehicle as a means to attain a recreation end, rather than as the end itself. These recreation types fall into two classes: (a) point and (b) dispersed forms of recreation.

**Point Forms of Recreation:** Often an OHV is driven to a specific destination such as a trailhead, staging area, or campsite. For instance, equestrians use an OHV to tow horse trailers and other equipment to designated staging areas where they can set up for horseback riding. The recreational activity is not the driving of the OHV itself; it is merely used to access the starting point for a ride. Similarly, hikers may use an OHV to travel to a trailhead; once there, the recreationist would begin his or her hike.

**Dispersed Forms of Recreation:** These are more dependent upon vehicle use than point forms, but the use of the vehicle is still not viewed as the primary source of recreation. For instance, a recreationist who desires to photograph a particular species of wildlife or wildflower may hike, ride a horse or use an OHV to search for a subject. Driving a vehicle is not the primary recreation; photography is. Because there is no specific destination, this form of recreation is referred to as “dispersed” rather than “point.”

### 3.5.2.3 Economic Contribution of OHV Recreation

Off highway vehicle recreationists, whether they use OHVs as a means to access other forms of recreation, or find recreation opportunities in the driving of the OHV itself, will contribute to the local economies of the planning area in a variety of ways. These depend on the level of use in areas surrounding desert towns, and the future significance of that contribution depends on the nature of ongoing recreation use trends. Table 3-9 addresses the various ways by which recreation contributes dollars to local economies.

**Table 3-9  
Recreation Economic Contribution**

REGION OR CITY	PRINCIPAL RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES ON ADJOINING PUBLIC LANDS	OHV USE IN NEARBY AREAS	SOURCES OF ECONOMIC CONTRIBUTION	TRENDS IN GROWTH	COMMENTS
Inyo County -- Pearsonville / Little Lake	Commercial filming Motorcycle touring	Low	Fuel, food	Increasing as the LA Basin grows	Most visitors to the area will acquire supplies in larger communities further south
Kern County	Large range of vehicle dependent	Cummulative High	Lodging, meals, supplies, vehicle	Increasing	Given the close proximity of this portion of Kern

	recreational activities		repairs, fuel.		County to the LA Basin and that it serves as the “Gateway” to the Sierras and the Desert growth is high and is expected to increase.
California City	OHV touring in the Rand and El Paso mountains – off road motor cycle play	Moderate	Fuel, camping supplies, and food	Has been increasing with the growth of the LA Basin.	Visitors coming over the Tehachapi and headed to the Rands and El Paso mountains will likely stop in California City, however that number is likely to be moderate and increase will also be low especially with the recent closure of the Rands.
Mojave	SUV touring, Off-Road Events for 4 X 4 and motorcycle and all desert play vehicles	High	Vehicle repairs and vehicle parts, fuel, camping supplies, motels, and food	Increasing significantly with growth in LA Basin and the increasing popularity of desert.	The Tehachapi pass carries a significant load of Recreation Traffic from the San Joaquin valley headed to the Mojave Region. Certainly any increase in recreation activity has a potential for economic gain for Mojave.
Ridgecrest	SUV touring, organized OHV events, rock hounding, commercial filming	High	Vehicle repairs and parts, fuel, camping supplies, food, hotels	Increasing	Viewed as both a significant current and future source of economic revenues
San Bernardino County	Large range of vehicle dependent recreational activities	Cummalatively High	Lodging, meals, supplies, vehicle repairs, fuel.	Increasing	Given the close proximity of this portion of San Bernardino County to the LA Basin and the “Inland Empire” and that it serves via I-15/US 395 as the “Gateway” to the Sierras and the Desert growth is high and is expected to increase.
Baker	SUV Touring, OHV Events, 4WD and motor cycle play, rock hounding, mining exploration	Low	Vehicle repairs and vehicle parts, fuel, camping supplies, motels, and food	Slight increase due to remoteness.	Baker is at the eastern edge of the study area and most users come out of the LA basin and the San Joaquin Valley. Therefore most recreation expenditures for the Mojave come from recreation users not going thru Baker.
Barstow	SUV Touring, OHV events, 4WD and motorcycle play, rock hounding, mining exploration	High	Vehicle repairs and vehicle parts, fuel, camping supplies, motels and food	Increasing	Barstow is at the heart of the Mojave Study Area with traffic coming in from LA via highway 15 and from the west via highway 58. An increase in recreation

					related expenditures could have a significant positive effect on Barstow.
Dagget	SUV touring, OHV Events, 4WD and motorcycle play, rock hound, mining exploration	Low	Fuel, and Food	Increasing Slightly	Dagget is located about 5 miles east of Barstow and majority of travelers will stock up in Barstow and only use Dagget for last minute supplies. Therefore a light increase in recreation activity will have a very slight economic impact to this small community.
Lucerne Valley	SUV Touring, Desert exploring via 4WD and motor cycle, rock hounding, and mining exploration	Low	Fuel, camping supplies, and food	Slight increase; due to the fact that the area is somewhat “off the beaten path” the level of growth is less than other areas.	Lucerne Valley is located just north of the San Bernardino Mountains about 10 miles east of Apple Valley. The following BLM subregions surround Lucerne Valley: Juniper, Granite, Ord, and Bighorn, also to the east is Johnson Valley Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area. Lucerne does not serve a large number of travelers.
Ludlow	SUV touring, OHV Events, 4WD and motorcycle play, rock hound, mining exploration	Low	Fuel, and Food	Increasing Slightly	Ludlow is located about 50 miles east of Barstow and majority of travelers will stock up in Barstow. Therefore a light increase in recreation activity will have a very slight economic impact to this small community.
Newberry Springs	SUV touring, OHV Events, 4WD and motorcycle play, rock hound, mining exploration	Low	Fuel, and Food	Increasing Slightly	Newberry Springs is located about 18 miles east of Barstow and majority of travelers will to their business in the bigger city. Therefore a light increase in recreation activity will have a very slight economic impact to this small community.
Trona	Commercial filming, motorcycle touring	Low	Fuel, food	Increasing as visitation increases to Death Valley NP	Although most visitors to the area get supplies in Ridgecrest, the future economic contribution to this economically depressed community is significant
Victorville / Apple Valley	SUV Touring, OHV Event, 4WD and motorcycle play, rock	High	Vehicle repairs and vehicle parts, fuel, camping	Increasing	Victorville does receive a high volume of recreation traffic leaving the LA basin

	hounding, mining exploration		supplies, lodging, food		on Highway 15. It is close to The Stoddard Valley OHV Area, Johnson Valley OHV Area, and Granite, Ord, and Juniper BLM Subregions. Any increases in OHV recreation could result in significant monetary inputs into the local economy.
Yucca Valley	SUV touring, desert exploring via 4WD and motor cycle, rock hounding, and ming exploration	Low	Fuel, camping supplies and food	Slight increase; most of the recreation growth associated with vehicle is to the northwest.	Yucca Valley is located east of the San Bernardino Mountains, and south of the BLM subregion of Bighorn and north of the Morongo subregion. Yucca Valley is not situated on a major highway and relative to other cities does not serve a large volume of recreation traffic

Source: Advance Resource Solutions, Inc.

## 3.6 MOTORIZED VEHICLE ACCESS NETWORK

There is a close relationship between the pursuit of recreational activities and motorized-vehicle use in the California desert, whether motorized vehicles are used to drive for pleasure or are simply a means of access to recreation destinations such as campgrounds and wilderness trailheads. Given the desert's vast expanse and great distances to recreation sites, it is difficult, if not impossible, in many circumstances, to engage in recreational activities in this region without employing a motorized vehicle in some fashion. Therefore, actions that restrict vehicular access may affect opportunities for recreation depending on the specific activity pursued and/or the specific location at which such restrictions are imposed. Routes of travel designations directly influence opportunities for recreation and affect access for non-recreational pursuits. Accordingly, motorized-vehicle access, routes of travel designations, and recreation are addressed as a single issue.

### 3.6.1 Off Road Vehicle Designations Prior to 2002

Off-road vehicle designations have been completed by BLM in the West Mojave planning area, although they have not yet been adopted as a component of the CDCA Plan. These designations occurred through a West Mojave-wide effort in the middle 1980s, during the preparation of ACEC plans, and during a late 1990s pilot project at Ord Mountain.

**1985-87 Off-Road Vehicle Designations:** BLM conducted a field and map inventory of off highway vehicle routes throughout the planning area in the mid-1980s and, based upon that inventory, identified a network of open motorized vehicle access routes. BLM personnel inventoried and evaluated existing routes of travel. Information from existing maps and aerial photos was supplemented by field checks. This information was then utilized to create a known



route inventory that primarily consisted of known “two-track” routes (i.e. “single-track” motorcycle routes were generally not part of the inventory). Public meetings were conducted and members of the public also reviewed these route inventories. Criteria for determining which routes were to remain open was based upon public access needs, recreational values and resource conflicts. Following public meetings, decisions to designate the route network were announced.

On August 21, 1985, BLM published a Notice in the Federal Register titled *Off-Road Vehicle Designation Decisions; Ridgecrest Resource Area, CA* (Federal Register, Vol. 50, No.182). Two years later, on June 19, 1987, BLM published a notice in the Federal Register titled *Off-Road Vehicle Route Designation Decisions for the California Desert District, Barstow Resource Area* (Federal Register, Vol. 52, No.118, p.23364).

**ACEC Off Road Vehicle Designations:** At various times during the decade following the early 1980s, BLM prepared management plans for ACECs within the West Mojave planning area. Many of these ACEC plans developed motorized vehicle access networks for the ACEC as a component of the ACEC plan. In some cases, when it was available, the information from the 1985-87 designation process was used to supplement the information base. Information about the route system was collected and applied in a manner similar to that used in the 1985-87 designation process. Table 3-10 lists these ACECs, together with the date the route network in each was developed.

**Table 3-10**  
**ACEC Route Networks and Principal Recreation Activities**

ACEC NAME AND NUMBER	SIZE ACRES	ROUTE DESIGNATION YEAR	ROUTE STATUS	PRINCIPAL RECREATION ACTIVITIES
Afton Canyon (43)	4,726	1989	Mapped designated route system	Camping, vehicular touring, equestrian, rock hounding, recreational mining on outside edges of area.
Amboy Crater National Natural Landmark (87)	679	NA	One access route to parking area.	Geologic exploration, rock hounding
Barstow Woolly Sunflower (36)	314	1982	Mapped routes excluded; vehicles Excluded From NW ¼ of Section 11; T11N; R6W	Non-vehicular dependent: Hiking, botanizing
Bedrock Springs (24)	785	1987	Mapped designated route system	Access to prehistoric values and Northern portion of the Golden Valley Wilderness Area
Big Morongo Canyon (50)	28,274	1982 1996	Mapped designated route system; Routes designated in 2002 Coachella Valley Plan Amendment	Hiking, wildlife viewing, picnicking
Black Mountain (35)	61,806	1988	Mapped designated route system	OHV recreation and touring, equestrian riding, hiking, camping, prehistoric and

				historic interpretation, recreational mining on northeastern fringe of area, wilderness recreation.
Calico Early Man Site (40)	898	1984	Mapped designated route system	OHV touring, hiking, camping, prehistoric and historic interpretation
Christmas Canyon (23)	3,444	NA	No route designation because most of ACEC is within Open area	OHV recreation and touring, historic interpretation. Located in between Spangler Hills OHV area and China Lake Naval Weapons Center.
Cronese Basin	10,226	1984	Mapped designated route system	OHV touring, bird-watching, wildlife viewing
Desert Tortoise Research Natural Area (22)	25,695	1988	Designated closed to vehicular use; protected by perimeter fence	Hiking, wildlife viewing, shooting.
Fossil Falls (10)	1,667	1986	Designated route system	OHV touring, prehistoric appreciation. Located at north end of East Sierra subregion.
Great Falls Basin (12)	9,726	1987	Mapped designated route system	OHV touring, picnicking, bird-watching, wildlife viewing. Located just north of Trona.
Harper Dry Lake (37)	475	1982	Mapped designated route system; all routes within 100 yards of marsh vegetation closed	OHV touring, bird-watching, equestrian riding. Located southwest of Black Mountain Wilderness Area.
Jawbone/Butterbread (20)	187,486	1982	Mapped designated route system	OHV touring, bird-watching, wildlife watching, rock-climbing. Located south of East Sierra subregion.
Juniper Flats (45)	2,528	1988	Mapped designated route system	Equestrian riding, OHV recreation and touring, access to Deep Creek hot springs. Located north of San Bernardino Mountains.
Last Chance Canyon (21)	5,913	1982	Designated route system	OHV recreation and touring, historic appreciation, wildlife viewing. Located south of El Paso Mountains Wilderness Area.
Manix (85)	2,897	NA	None	Paleontological and historic interpretation, OHV touring. Located south of Coyote subregion.
Mojave Fishhook Cactus (77)	628	1990	Designated route system	OHV touring, botanizing
Rainbow Basin (39)	4,087	1991	Mapped designated route system	Camping, OHV touring, equestrian riding, hiking, geologic, paleontological and prehistoric interpretation. Located in middle of Superior subregion.

Red Mountain Spring (formerly Squaw Spring) (26)	717	1987	Mapped designated route system; area closed to vehicular travel	Prehistoric and historic interpretation. Located in northern portion of Red Mountain subregion.
Rodman Mountains Cultural Area (84)	6,204		Routes outside Rodman Mtns. Wilderness were designated as part of Ord-Rodman Plan	OHV touring and recreation, cultural interpretation, hiking, wilderness recreation.
Rose Springs (7)	859	1985	Routes designated closed	Hiking, wildlife viewing, prehistoric interpretation, hunting. Located in north end of East Sierra subregion.
Sand Canyon (11)	2,609	1989	Specific route closures	Hiking, wildlife viewing, bird-watching, hunting, cultural interpretation. Located - in part- in central East Sierra subregion.
Short Canyon (81)	754	1990?	Most of the ACEC routes are closed because they are within wilderness	Hiking, botanizing, wildlife viewing, bird-watching, hunting. Located in East Sierra subregion, borders Owens Peak Wilderness.
Soggy Dry Lake Creosote Rings (47)	186	1982	All vehicular routes closed to protect unique vegetation	Botanizing, hiking. Located just south of Johnson Valley OHV area.
Steam Well (25)	41	1982	Designated route system; All routes closed with inclusion of ACEC in the Golden Valley Wilderness Area	Prehistoric and historic interpretation. Located in southwest edge of Golden Valley Wilderness area.
Trona Pinnacles (16)	4,055	1989	Designated route system	Sightseeing, commercial filming, OHV touring, geologic interpretation. Located in South Searles subregion.
Upper Johnson Valley Yucca Rings (46)	353	1982	Specific routes designated closed	Botanizing, OHV recreation and touring. Located in Johnson Valley OHV area.
Western Rand Mountains (2)	17,877	1994	Mapped designated route system	OHV touring and recreation. Located west of Red Mountain subregion.
Whitewater Canyon (49)	16,381	1982	Designated route system	OHV touring, wildlife viewing, hiking

Collectively, the 1985-87 and ACEC networks address all public lands within the planning area outside of wilderness areas and open areas.

**Ord Mountain Pilot Off Road Vehicle Designations:** In 1995 the BLM undertook a pilot project within the Ord Mountain area to test methods to acquire an inventory of routes of travel. A pilot digital aerial photograph was used together with GIS digitizing equipment to

identify existing routes of travel within the area. From this inventory, two proposed open route systems were identified and addressed in an environmental assessment for designation.

### 3.6.2 OHV Route Subregions

Twenty “subregions” have been identified for route designation planning purposes. The motorized vehicle access networks proposed by the alternatives were developed using the subregion as the basic planning unit. Several of the subregions are further divided into motorized access zones (MAZ). Table 3-11 describes each of these subregions, as well as the recreational activities and access needs associated with each subregion, and the number of MAZs (if any) into which each is divided. A more detailed narrative discussion can be found in Appendix R, section R.2.

**Table 3-11**  
**Off Road Vehicle Designation Subregions**

SUBREGION	PRINCIPAL RECREATION ACTIVITIES	NUMBER OF MAZS	COMMENTS
Bighorn	Off-highway touring, sightseeing, equestrian riding.	N/A	Contains Bighorn Mountain Wilderness Area; provides access to San Bernardino National Forest. Transition area of desert valley floor into the mountains.
Coyote	Rock hounding, off-highway touring/sightseeing, mining.	N/A	Contains Calico Early Man Archaeological Site, Cronese Lakes ACEC, and Soda Mountains Wilderness Study Area. OHV recreation is relatively light compared to most other subregions. Most OHV activity occurs in the southwestern sectors. Northwestern sector part of Paradise Valley, an area known for higher than average tortoise populations
East Sierra	Hiking, camping, rock hounding, OHV, equestrian riding.	N/A	OHV touring allows for other opportunities like hunting, wildlife observation, and equestrian staging. Area important for access to remote backcountry activities.
El Mirage	OHV, recreational mining	N/A	El Mirage OHV recreation area borders subregion to the south. Area of more historic use than current use. Once more popular for races which have since shifted to the Open Areas. Edwards bowl in the western sector popular as a motorcycle area creates some conflicts with adjoining private property owners. Shadow Mountain once very popular with motorcyclists. Use now restricted due to conflicts with hamlet of Shadow Mountain to the south. Bajadas north of Shadow Mountain complex have been found to have higher than average desert tortoise sign.
El Paso	OHV use, rock hounding, shooting/hunting.	N/A	Last Chance Canyon ACEC and El Paso Mountains Wilderness abut the subregion. Very mountainous area universally popular for a variety of visitor types including jeepers, motorcyclists, miners, campers, rock hounders, equestrians, historical explorers and upland game hunters
Fremont	OHV use, shooting/hunting, rock hounding, equestrian riding, hiking, recreational mining.	5	Contains Barstow Woolly Sunflower ACEC, Harper Dry Lake ACEC, and the Black Mountain Wilderness. Northern hilly sectors very popular longstanding MC area; Gravel Hills and Hamburger Mill northwest of Fremont Peak known for long-term historical use. Bajada areas in the southern sectors not nearly as popular as the above-described areas to the north. These bajadas areas in the south and central sector known for historically high populations of desert tortoise.
Granite	OHV touring, recreational mining,	N/A	Stoddard Valley OHV Area borders subregion to the north. Fairview area in southern portion of region receives moderate to

	rock climbing, hiking, dispersed camping and day use.		high dispersed, day use including hiking, rock climbing, and social gatherings.
Juniper	Equestrian riding, recreational mining, hiking, MC riding, hunting	N/A	Hunting opportunities are found in the Juniper Flats area as well as on national forest lands. Visitors can camp at Bowen Ranch area and at locations throughout the national forest, to the south. OHV touring allowed in appropriate areas.
Kramer	OHV use/dual sport, rock hounding, shooting/ hunting	4	Mining and homestead site established in the late 19 <sup>th</sup> and early 20 <sup>th</sup> century exists in the area, some of which may have historical significance.
Middle Knob	OHV touring/sightseeing, camping, hiking, hunting	N/A	Cultural resources are significant in the subregion. Contains biological values of special concern, including habitat for desert tortoises.
Morongo	Wildlife viewing and education, hiking	N/A	The Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, a wildlife refuge, is located - in part - within the subregion
Newberry-Rodman	Equestrian riding, OHV touring, sightseeing, OHV/ dual sport, rock hounding, recreational mining	3	Subregion contains the Newberry Mountains Wilderness, the Rodman Mountains Wilderness and the adjoining Rodman Mountains ACEC. Rock art and cultural sites are within the subregion.
North Searles	OHV use/dual sport, rock hounding, equestrian rides.	N/A	Shooting/hunting occur in the Argus Range Wilderness that borders the northwestern portion of the subregion. The Great Falls Basin/Argus Range ACEC lies within the subregion.
Ord	Recreational mining, OHV touring/sightseeing	N/A	The historic Ord Mountain Road and the Daggett Wash Road are accessible by four-wheel drive vehicles and motorcycles (OHV/dual sport). The Stoddard Valley OHV Recreation Area to the west and the Johnson Valley OHV area to the southeast of the subregion provide for OHV/dual sport activities.
Pinto	Rock hounding, OHV touring/sightseeing, recreational mining	N/A	The subregion is bordered by the Joshua Tree National Park to the east, west, and the south.
Red Mountain	OHV touring/sightseeing, shooting/hunting, OHV/ dual sport, hiking, equestrian riding, recreational mining.	4	The Grass Valley Wilderness is partly contained in the subregion and the Golden Valley Wilderness borders the subregion to the north. These bajadas areas in the central west sector west of Cuddeback Lake, are known for historically high populations of desert tortoise and extremely high historical mining activity.
Ridgecrest	Hiking, equestrian riding OHV/dual sport	N/A	The Rademacher Hills offers network of trails open to the hiking, jogging, horseback riding and mountain biking.
Sleeping Beauty	Rock hounding, recreational mining.	N/A	Historic Route 66 borders the subregion to the south.
South Searles	Rock hounding, shooting, OHV touring/sightseeing, recreational mining.	N/A	Subregion contains the Trona Pinnacles National Natural Landmark ACEC. Historical and cultural resources are located in the subregion.
Superior	OHV/dual sport, rock hounding, camping, recreational mining.	5	Subregion contains the Rainbow Basin National Natural Landmark ACEC. The Black Mountain Wilderness lies to the west of the subregion and the Calico Mountains lie to the south east of the subregion.

## **3.7 CULTURAL RESOURCES**

The following consists of excerpts taken from the comprehensive treatment of the cultural resources that is being prepared for the West Mojave Plan EIR/S (expected to be published in May 2003).

### **3.7.1 Archaeological, Historical, Paleontological and Ethnographic Resources**

#### **3.7.1.1 Area of Effect**

Effects to cultural resources would be generated by specific implementing actions, such as fence construction, structure and debris removal, and route designation. Because specific locations for some actions have not yet been identified, it is not possible at this time to fully identify the entire area of potential effect (APE). Decisions that result in actions that disturb the ground surface or items on the surface would define the actual area of potential effect for most cultural resources. For these actions, all work areas, including parking for equipment, loading and unloading areas, would also fall within the APE. In some cases, actions may affect larger areas, such as landscapes that have cultural, traditional, or sacred values. For route designation, which is the action being considered by the Designation Project with greatest potential to affect cultural resources, the area of effect is the actual routes under consideration plus the 600-foot-wide corridor along open routes that is available for pulling off, parking, and camping, plus areas near or adjacent to routes that may be subject to effects related to use of the route. Such effects include access to historic and prehistoric sites in the area that may be subject to vandalism, artifact theft, removal of wood for campfires, and other similar types of effects. In some cases, presence of vehicle access may have effects on traditional landscapes that extend well beyond the route and 600-foot corridor of use.

#### **3.7.1.2 Existing Database**

The existing cultural resources database consists of inventory reports, archaeological site records, and related information maintained by BLM in each field office and a database maintained by the State of California Office of Historic Preservation (SOHP). To a large degree these databases overlap through sharing of information over the years. The state database has been maintained by individual Information Centers around the state and until recently was, like the BLM database, a hard copy system of maps, site records, inventory reports, and photographs. For the past several years a cooperative effort between BLM and the SOHP has been underway to digitize the database and make it available to qualified users in an electronic format that would allow more refined manipulation of the data. This electronic system, the California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS) is still under development. Currently, a static version of data that has been entered into the CHRIS system has been provided to each BLM field office on a compact disk (CD).

In 1966 the National Historic Preservation Act was passed, which requires that federal agencies take into consideration the effects of decisions on cultural resources. By the mid-1970s BLM archaeologists were surveying project areas for Bureau-initiated and non-Bureau-initiated proposed actions. Similar requirements of state law apply to development of private lands.

Since then, the overwhelming bulk of archaeological inventory carried out within the planning area has been generated by the need to meet legal compliance requirements. Since location of inventory has been almost wholly determined by where development was planned, the available data does not fully reflect the nature, location, and significance of the resource on the ground. The primary exception to this is the archaeological inventory carried out during preparation of the California Desert Conservation Area Plan, beginning in 1969 and continuing until the CDCA Plan was signed in 1980. Each planning unit in the California Desert was subject to systematic sample inventory, stratified by various environmental factors that are thought to influence archaeological site distribution. The sample was low, ranging from 0.5% to 2% per planning unit and averaging 1% desert wide. Nevertheless, approximately 280 square miles were systematically inventoried and another 50 square miles were subject to less intensive reconnaissance. A total of 2,903 historic and prehistoric sites were recorded (USDI, BLM 1980, Appendix VII). This effort substantially increased our knowledge of the distribution of historic and prehistoric sites within the California Desert.

### **3.7.1.3 Regional Overview: Prehistoric**

For detailed regional overviews of the prehistory, history, and ethnography of the study area see Norwood *et al.*, 1980, Stickel *et al.* 1980, Hall *et al.* 1981, Garfinkel 1976, Norris and Carrico 1978, and Warren and Roske 1981. These reports were prepared during preparation of the CDCA Plan and summarized available data at that time. More recent overviews may be found in W & S Consultants 2000 and Whitley, Whitley and Simon n.d.

The California Desert has been inhabited for at least 8,000 to 10,000/12,000 years and perhaps longer, although most of the extant remains date to much later periods. Evidence of the earliest occupations is sparse and difficult to date or interpret. Between 8,000 to 12,000 years ago settlement was centered on lakes, which are now the dry playas so characteristic of the Mojave Desert and Great Basin. These lakes, and especially marsh environments along their edges, were particularly rich in plant and animal species that provided food, fibers, medicines, tools, clothing, and ritual objects necessary for daily existence. From 8,000 to 6,000 years ago, climatic change caused the lakes to dry, necessitating cultural adaptation to the loss of a prime habitat. One of the adaptations included increased use of upland areas. (There is evidence that use of upland areas actually began earlier than this while the lakes were still present.) Around 6,000 years ago, food gathering and land use patterns began to appear that continued into the historic period. These involved use of a greater variety of habitats and plant and animal resources. Grinding implements such as manos and metates made their appearance. Around 2,000 years ago a shift in projectile point types from larger forms (e.g. Elko and Gypsum points) to smaller forms (e.g. Rose Spring and Eastgate Points) may indicate the introduction of the bow and arrow to replace spears and atlatls. The expansion of bow-and-arrow technology is indicated by the late prehistoric introduction of Desert Side-Notched and Cottonwood Triangular points, which are found throughout the area. These point styles are key indicators of the age of archaeological sites in which they occur. By this time, because of the drier climate, primary habitation sites were located near reliable water sources such as springs and flowing streams. Secondary habitation sites were established as needed in areas in which particular resources were seasonally collected. Sites relating to ritual or religious activity, such as rock art sites, sometimes occurred near habitation sites but were also remote from such sites to protect the

sacred nature of the sites and the ritual activities. People generally followed a pattern of exploitation of seasonally available resources by moving through a more-or-less defined homeland, usually returning to a primary habitation (“village”) for winters. This pattern of seasonal movement from place to place resulted in use of large areas by relatively small populations, and left the remains that are now archaeological sites widely scattered over the landscape.

#### **3.7.1.4 Regional Overview: Historic**

The first documented exploration of the Mojave Desert by non-indigenous peoples occurred in the mid-1700s when Francisco Garces, a Spanish Franciscan priest, looked for a practical route from Arizona to northern California. Between Garces’ exploration in 1776 and 1880, only agriculture or precious metals attracted Spanish-Mexican and American settlers. Much of the history of the region turns on its use as a corridor (Warren 1980: 195).

In the early 19th century, fur trappers and caravans crossed the desert. Jedediah Smith led the way in 1826, followed by other mountain men like Ewing Young in 1829; both followed the Mojave Indian Trail. Antonio Armijo is credited with leading the first caravan of pack animals across the Mojave in 1830. Traders William Wolfskill and George C. Yount used the Old Spanish Trail in 1830-1831. Other groups who used the trail during Mexican control of the western Mojave include Don Jose Aveita’s commercial caravan in 1833-1834, Jacob P. Leese in 1834, William Slover and Isaac Pope in 1837, and Jose Antonio Salazar’s caravan in 1839-1840. John C. Fremont, a lieutenant in the U.S. Army Corps of Topographical Engineers, described his survey and travel in 1844 along a variant route (Warren 1980:201). Other trails arising from commerce include the Mojave Trail and Salt Lake Trail, both of which run through present-day Barstow. Joseph Walker is credited with pioneering a trail across the Sierra Nevada Range, enabling access between the San Joaquin Valley and the desert.

Settlement by Americans and the growth of coastal and inland trade culminated in the annexation of California by the United States in 1848. In that same year, gold was discovered in California and the gold rush was on, ushering in a massive influx of prospectors. The Death Valley forty-niners, led by William Lewis Manly, traveled through the project area along Indians Big Trail, also known as Owens River Road, the Midland Trail, and Bullion Road, which connected the northern Mojave and Owens Valley area with Los Angeles, via connections with the Tehachapi Pass road and Walker’s Pass road. In the late-19th century, these roads were used to transport goods, people, livestock, food and ore between the Mojave Desert and Los Angeles. Temporary camps or stage stops were set up along the routes, including Indian Wells Station, Coyote Holes Station, and Panamint Station. The western Mojave Desert became a major contributor to California’s mining industry. Small mining towns, such as Calico and Coolgardie, and ranching operations were established and proposed.

The California Gold Rush contributed to pressure to establish railroad routes across the desert. Railroad surveys began in 1853 with Lieutenant Amiel Weeks Whipple and Lieutenant Robert Stockton Williamson conducting surveys in the western Mojave. The San Pedro, Los Angeles and Salt Lake Line, predecessor of the Union Pacific through the Mojave Desert, was completed in 1905, and the Tonopah and Tidewater finished its line from Ludlow on the Atlantic



& Pacific via Death Valley Junction to Beatty, Nevada in 1907 (Warren 1980:207). Spur lines were constructed to serve mines and mining camps. The Harvey house originated from an early railroad roadhouse located at the junction of the Santa Fe Mojave-Needles line and the California Southern line coming north from Cajon Pass.

Development of automobile routes began in the early-20th century and increased in importance in the second quarter of the 20th century (Warren 1980:239). Following completion of the Atlantic & Pacific Railroad, a road was constructed in 1914 parallel to the tracks, which road became the precursor of U.S. 66. In 1925, construction began on U.S. 91, a new alignment of an older trail, which opened up the desert to the general public.

Ranching and agricultural industries at the beginning of the 20th century and increasing populations in Los Angeles created a need for more water than the immediate landscape could supply. In rural areas, the demand was met by small irrigation ditches and canals, but Los Angeles' need was met by construction of the Los Angeles Aqueducts in 1908-1913 and in the 1920s.

Military bases were established in the desert prior to U.S. entry into World War II. Large tracts of land were set aside for military use near Ridgecrest, Barstow, Lancaster, and Twentynine Palms.

### 3.7.1.5 Known Significant Sites

Prehistoric and historic properties and traditional cultural properties on federal lands are formally identified as significant by being listed in the National Register of Historic Places or determined eligible for listing (see Tables 3-12). Properties on state or private lands are formally identified as significant by being listed in the California Register of Historic Resources or designated as a California Historic Landmark or California Point of Historical Interest. Some local governments also offer designation/registration programs for local properties. These lists are not comprehensive; they include only those properties that have been selected for special attention or have been evaluated as part of project development. Most sites have not been evaluated for significance. Federal regulation requires that caution be exercised when dealing with unevaluated properties to avoid damage or alterations that might affect qualities that could make them eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places.

**Table 3-12**  
**West Mojave Sites Administered by BLM Ridgecrest Field Office area listed in the**  
**National Register of Historic Places**

RIDGECREST FIELD OFFICE			
PROPERTY NAME	COUNTY	SITES INCLUDED	KNOWN VALUES
Bandit Rock (Robber's Roost)	Kern	1 (several sites present were not included in nomination)	Historic (sites not included in nomination are prehistoric)
Blackwater Well	Kern	17	Prehistoric
Last Chance Canyon (Includes Last Chance	Kern	160 (an additional 55 sites within 2 mile radius of	Prehistoric/historic/ Native American

Canyon ACEC within boundaries)		boundary)	
Red Mountain Spring Archaeological District	San Bernardino	23 formally recorded; a number of others being documented as a result of recent research	Mostly prehistoric but some historic remains
Fossil Falls Archaeological District (includes part of Fossil Falls ACEC)	Inyo	32	Prehistoric
Steam Well Archaeological District	San Bernardino	4	Prehistoric
<b>BARSTOW FIELD OFFICE</b>			
Fossil Canyon	San Bernardino	SBR2841, SBR2058	Scientific, conservation, traditional use, public
Rodman Mountain Petroglyphs	San Bernardino	SBR307A, B, C (Deep Tank), SBR306A, B, C (Surprise Tank)	Scientific, conservation, traditional use, public
Black Mountain Rock Art District	San Bernardino		Scientific, conservation, traditional use, public
Newberry Cave	San Bernardino		Conservation, traditional use
Harvey House	San Bernardino		Conservation, public; 1911 Railroad station.
Alf's Blacksmith Shop	San Bernardino		Conservation, public; Only known complete blacksmith shop remaining in San Bernardino County.
Lake Mojave	San Bernardino	CA-SBE-140	Scientific

Table 3-13 lists sites of significance on public lands administered by the BLM Barstow Field Office.

**Table 3-13**  
**West Mojave Sites of Significance Administered by BLM Barstow Field Office**

NAME	CULTURAL RESOURCE VALUES
CA-SBR-1606	Scientific
CA-SBR-2081	Scientific
CA-SBR-2085	Scientific
CA-SBR-2094	Scientific
Pinto Basin	Scientific
Salt Springs	Scientific
Amargosa Canyon	Scientific, conservation, public
Awl	Scientific
Rock Spring	Scientific, public
Saratoga Springs	Scientific
Oro Grande	Scientific
Rustler Rockshelter	Scientific
Deep Creek	Scientific

China Ranch	Scientific
Shoshone Rockshelter	Scientific
Fort (Camp) Cady	Scientific, conservation, public; 1860 military fort built by Major James H. Carleton.
Deadmans Point	Public
Finger Rock (Hercules' Finger)	Public; site of 1840 battle between ranchers and rustlers.
Black Canyon	Scientific, conservation, traditional use, public
Calico Ghost Town/Mining District	Public; silver mining district
Coolgardie Camp	Public; 1890s gold mining camp.
Inscription Canyon	Scientific, conservation, traditional use; public

A number of other sites/districts are currently being nominated for listing in the National Register and many sites have been determined to be eligible for listing in the National Register.

Table 3-14 describes the areas of critical environmental concern that have been designated within the West Mojave planning area.

**Table 3-14**  
**Cultural Resource ACECs in Western Mojave Desert**

RIDGECREST FIELD OFFICE	
ACEC	CULTURAL RESOURCE VALUES
Rose Spring	Contains several prehistoric sites. Research at these sites started in the 1950s and continues (Lanning 1963, Riddell 1956). These sites are type sites for cultural chronology of the western Great Basin.
Fossil Falls	Large complex of prehistoric sites associated with Pleistocene Owens River, 32 of which are listed in the National Register. Research here dates back to work of M.R. Harrington in the 1950s. Area includes the Stahl site, on private land, also an important type site for explication of western Great Basin/Northern Mojave cultural chronology.
Last Chance Canyon	Prehistoric. Part of the Last Chance Canyon National Register District; the portion of the District considered to be most at risk was selected for ACEC status. Also includes important historic resources.
Jawbone-Butterbredt	Native American values. Contains a number of locations that were identified by a Kawaiisu elder whose family had lived in the area, including prehistoric and proto-historic/historic archaeological sites, sacred areas, and areas that were known or thought to contain burials.
Christmas Canyon	Prehistoric. Subject of current research that is revealing a large and very significant complex of sites, including examples of rare cultural phenomena. Some sites are related to various stands of Pleistocene Lake Searles and preliminary dates indicate great age for some of them, while at least one site contains historic materials, indicating a very long period of use.
Bedrock Spring	Prehistoric. Subject to current research by BLM, this ACEC also contains a variety of site types including habitation sites, rock shelters, rock art, milling, and others. Publication of current research will add materially to our understanding of prehistory in this portion of the Mojave Desert.
Steam Well	Prehistoric. Contains four petroglyph sites
Red Mountain Spring	Prehistoric. Contains 23 recorded sites and other sites that have been located during recent research by Cal Poly Pomona archaeologists. Site types include habitation sites, lithic scatters, milling features, rock art, trails, stacked stone structures, and hunting blinds. Although the ACEC was designated for prehistoric resources there are also historic materials within the ACEC.

BARSTOW FIELD OFFICE	
Afton Canyon	Moderate density and complexity of sites. Twenty recorded prehistoric sites, including quarries, lithic scatters with ground stone, and occupation/multi-use sites. Represent riparian and lacustrine resource exploitation, tool manufacture, trade, and desert settlement (Bureau of Land Management 1989:38). Scientific use.
Calico Early Man Site	Lithic tools and debitage are associated with possibly the earliest human occupation on the North American continent. Continued research investigates human occupation and settlement of the Western Hemisphere (Bureau of Land Management 1984:2.1). Public use.
Black Mountain	Area contains the most extensive assemblages of prehistoric petroglyphs within California. Quarry and lithic workshops are found within the ACEC as well as evidence for obsidian trade (Bureau of Land Management 1988:6). Scientific, traditional use.
Cronese Lakes	This area contains sites representing occupation beginning 8,000 years ago. Cultural remains provide information regarding subsistence and settlement patterns in the Great Basin (Bureau of Land Management 1985:1-5). Scientific use.
Denning Spring	Cultural resource values include at least four major resource locations. In addition to historic resources not formally recorded, prehistoric sites are designated SBR3828 and SBR 3829B and 3829C (Bureau of Land Management 1982:3). Scientific use.
Greenwater Canyon	Contains multi-purpose sites indicative of occupation beginning about 12,000 years ago to historic contact. Sites include rockshelters, petroglyphs, pictographs, hunting blinds, and diagnostic lithic tools (Bureau of Land Management 1988:6-10). Scientific, traditional, public use.
Juniper Flats	Numerous sites have open trash middens, evidence of cooking, tool manufacture, hunting, and plant/animal processing. An occupied rockshelter is also present. Early historic remains are related to homesteading and mining (Bureau of Land Management 1988:9). Scientific use.
Rodman Mountains	
Rainbow Basin	The badlands within the planning area expose one of the best known and most intensively studied late Miocene age fossil assemblages in the United States. Fourteen archaeological sites have been located, characterized by temporary habitation, flake scatter, petroglyphs, historic mining remnants (Bureau of Land Management 1991:32, 36). Scientific, traditional, public use.
Salt Creek Hills	Site of the first hard rock gold mine in the Mojave Desert (Bureau of Land Management 1992:5). Public use.

Most archaeological sites have not been evaluated for their significance or eligibility for listing in any formal roster of significant sites. Because one of the criteria for determining whether or not a site may be eligible for listing in the National Register is that the site has “yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history” (36 CFR 60) many site types are *a priori* eligible for listing and are treated as such for management purposes regardless of whether or not formal determinations have been made. Such site types include permanent or semi-permanent habitation sites (“villages”); temporary camps containing multiple tool types, especially if they contain obsidian; and utilized shelters or caves that contain the same types of materials. As analytical techniques improve or new technologies are perfected, the kinds of data that can be extracted from archaeological materials increase. In contrast to most archaeological sites, which generally provide information on aspects of material culture and relationships between sites and groups of people, sites containing rock art (petroglyphs and pictographs) can provide glimpses into the intellectual and spiritual aspects of culture.

Historic sites may yield information on industrial technologies and how they were used or adapted in individual situations; ethnic, gender and age make-up of working populations; food

preferences; availability of luxury items to various groups; and even how speculation on Wall Street affected small mining operations in the western United States (Barnes 2001).

All of this means that many, many archaeological sites, both recorded and unrecorded, are likely to be found to be significant and eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places if formally evaluated. For these reasons the actual number of sites listed in the National Register is not an accurate indicator of the significance of the resource base as a whole.

### **3.7.1.6 Potentially Significant Areas**

All of the lands within the planning area that are administered by the BLM's Ridgecrest Field Office may be characterized as sensitive for cultural resources with a few exceptions. Reasons for the intensity of prehistoric occupation include the presence in the past of a series of Pleistocene lakes and the Owens River as well as the fact that this area is on the boundary between the Mojave Desert and the Great Basin and presents a greater than usual variety of environments and associated natural resources.

The area including the shore of Owens Lake, Haiwee Reservoir, Rose Valley, Cactus Flat, and McCloud Flat down to the Fossil Falls-Little Lake area is characterized by extremely high prehistoric site densities related to the presence of Owens Lake and Owens River and the nearby Coso and Sugarloaf obsidian quarries. Sites from this area have been important in defining cultural chronologies for the western Great Basin. Many more prehistoric sites may be expected in this area than have been formally recorded. The area also contains examples of Coso-style rock art, both painted and pecked. Recent archaeological and ethnohistorical research, moreover, suggests that the Numic religious and artistic tradition in the Coso region may represent 10,000 or more years of continuity (Whitley et al. 1999a, 1999b) – thus making this the longest-lived religious tradition so far identified in the world (National Register Nomination Form, Whitley 2002). The Coso Mountains and adjacent areas were an important center of Shoshone habitation during the late prehistoric period.

The west edge of the planning area includes a series of canyons along the east flank of the Sierra Nevada. Nearly all of these canyons contain significant prehistoric sites and almost no formal inventory has been carried out in any of the canyons. They may be expected to contain sites that relate to middle to late-prehistoric settlement-subsistence patterns whereby resources at various elevations were exploited seasonally. The lower portions of the canyons that fall within the western Mojave Desert are known to contain what were probably winter habitation sites. Although a number of these sites are known, none have been subject to scientific study. These canyons extend into the Jawbone-Butterbrecht ACEC south of Walker Pass.

The El Paso Mountains are known to contain extremely high site densities. Black Mountain in the El Pasos (and now in wilderness) was considered a sacred mountain by late prehistoric peoples. The entire mountain range is characterized by complexes of sites such as habitation sites, stone quarry sites, rock art sites (both painted and pecked), rock shelters, milling stations, rock alignments, and other site types. The total acreage that has been inventoried in the El Pasos is relatively small, so there are undoubtedly many unrecorded sites.

On the east side of the planning area there are complexes of prehistoric sites that appear to be related to the presence of Pleistocene Searles Lake, as well as sites relating to later periods. In the past year BLM archaeologists have inventoried approximately 1200 acres near Searles Lake and have found very high site densities as well as uncommon archaeological manifestations such as rock alignments, trails, and stacked stone features. Materials from some of these sites have been dated by radiocarbon and other dating methods and the area appears to have been inhabited from the late Pleistocene-Early Holocene (c. 11,000 years ago) down to the historic period. The Lava Mountains should also be included in this high sensitivity area.

Historic mining occurred in a number of areas, including Darwin and adjacent areas, and Homewood Canyon. Extraction of borax and borates from Searles Lake that began in the late 1800s left historic remains on and adjacent to Searles Lake. Red Mountain, Randsburg, and Johannesburg all began as centers for historic mining operations in the area and remains of historic mining, milling, and prospecting are abundant.

The very low inventory levels, less than 1% in most areas, leaves the probability that there are many unrecorded prehistoric and historic resources and areas of high sensitivity that have not yet been identified.

### **3.7.1.7 Ethno-historic Overview**

The ethnohistoric period begins with European contact in the 18<sup>th</sup> century, and is documented in diaries, official documents, narratives, and scholarly studies, the latter including interviews with native peoples. At the time of European contact, Paiute, Shoshone, Kawaiisu, Kitanemuk, Serrano, Vanyume, Chemehuevi, and Mojave occupied the planning area. Owens Valley Paiute occupied the far northern edge of the planning area, near Owens Lake, although this was peripheral to their primary areas around Owens Lake and River. The Western Shoshone lived south and east of Owens Lake, as far south as Little Lake. Kawaiisu occupied the southern Sierra, as well as Indian Wells Valley, El Paso Mountains, Tehachapi Mountains, and adjacent areas. Kitanemuk and Serrano occupied the southwestern portion of the planning area, as far south as the San Bernardino Mountains. The Vanyume lived along the Mojave River, north and east of Victorville. The Chemehuevi are the southernmost band of the Southern Paiute, and their extensive traditional territory included the eastern Mojave Desert. By the mid-19<sup>th</sup> century, they had settled along the Colorado River in traditional Mojave territory. The Mojave controlled the area north of Bill Williams River up to the Nevada border, but their main settlements were in the Mojave Valley.

The Owens Valley Paiute, Mojave, and Chemehuevi, after the latter's relocation to the Colorado River, farmed as well as harvested native wild plant foods. There is no record of farming among the other tribes. Ethnographic and ethnohistoric accounts indicate native populations had efficient processes to obtain food and raw materials, and had extensive knowledge of plants, animals, and the environment. Group settlement and subsistence patterns were within well-defined territories, but the length of time spent in any one camp varied among the tribes. Organization of society also varied among tribes, but can generally be described as loosely structured, allowing families to be self-determining while recognizing an importance of kinship lines. A sense of tribal identity, including language, customs, history, and religious

beliefs, held members of each tribe together.

Under the National Historic Preservation Act, a federal agency consults with tribes so that they might identify traditional cultural properties of cultural and religious importance, and consider the effect of its actions on those places. Places meeting the criteria for traditional cultural properties are then evaluated under criteria for the National Register. Under the American Indian Religious Freedom Act and Executive Order 13007, a federal agency must consider the effects of its actions on Native American spiritual places and on access to such places by religious practitioners. Consultation usually combines compliance with both laws. A traditional cultural property is a place that is eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places because of its association with cultural practices or beliefs of a living community that are rooted in that community's history and are important in maintaining the continuing cultural identity of the community. Traditional cultural properties may overlap a number of categories of cultural resources such as archaeological sites, historic sites, areas where natural materials are collected, sacred sites, or sacred landscapes.

### **3.7.2 Tribal Governments and Policies**

Eight tribal governments who might attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties within the planning area were contacted in June 2000 and from May to July 2001. These included the Lone Pine Paiute Shoshone, Timbisha Shoshone, San Manuel Band, Morongo Band, 29 Palms Band, Fort Mojave Tribe, Chemehuevi Tribe, and Colorado River Indian Tribes. Contact was made via letter and phone. When contacted by phone in July 2001, the Lone Pine Paiute Shoshone, Timbisha Shoshone, Fort Mojave Tribe, Chemehuevi Tribe, and Colorado River Indian Tribes requested additional information, and information packets were sent to those tribes. In August 2001 a briefing was presented to the Native American Lands Conservancy at their request. As a consequence of contact, no tribe or band identified religious or cultural significance to historic properties within the planning area.